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Progressive Collapse Resistance Analysis of Secondary Shielding Installation Platform for A-type Tank

Qingfeng Wang, Zhigang Miao and Li Zhou

School of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, Jiangsu University of Science and Technology, Zhenjiang, 212003, China

ABSTRACT

As a key supporting equipment for the construction of LNG carriers, the installation platform undertakes the support and guarantee of LNG carrier tank internal construction. This paper takes the secondary shielding installation platform of A-type tank as the object of study, the study firstly considers the semi-rigidity of the nodes and the material nonlinearity based on finite element software, and then the residual structure is calculated using static nonlinear method after single truss, two trusses and three trusses are invalid simultaneously. The research results show that the truss with higher components importance coefficient has greater impact on the residual structure when the truss is invalid; After the 2 trusses of installation platform become invalid completely, the further progressive collapse will not occur; When A1-HJ, A2-HJ and A2-HJ are dismantled at the same time, it will lead to the local progressive damage, which can cause the collapse of large-scale structures. The research findings can support the design and use of the installation platform.

1. Introduction

Progressive collapse refers to the local failure of structures under unconventional loads, including explosive explosion, gas explosion, vehicle impact and heavy impact. This damage expands and eventually leads to the collapse of the whole building or causes collapse out of proportion to the initial damage. Since the collapse of Ronan Point in 1968, progressive collapse has attracted the attention of designers at home and abroad firstly. Taewam Kim and Jinkoo Kim [1] had analyzed the influencing factors of continuous collapse resistance of steel frame structures, the results show that: The risk of progressive collapse of steel frame structure increases with the decrease of floor number and the increase of beam span; Increasing the span number can significantly improve the anti-progressive collapse performance of the structure; With the increase of design seismic force, the anti-progressive collapse ability of the structure also increases. Hu Xiaobin [2] adopted finite element program LS-DYNA to complete progressive collapse simulation analysis of Multilayer Planar Steel Frame, the results show that: For steel structures, increasing failure strain of materials can improve their ability to resist progressive collapse. Xie Buying described the whole process of frame failure until it completely collapses on the ground by using the concept of Inertia, the relationship between force and acceleration and the principle of collision dy-
nationally. In addition, the general commercial software is used to carry out numerical simulation analysis of the structure in order to give some damage mechanisms or anti-collapse measures.

Secondary shielding installation platform for A-type tank is the new and lightweight scaffold which is used to support the installation engineering of the secondary shield. It is composed of several steel frames connected by connecting beams, and each steel frame is composed of trusses and suspension structures fixed by connecting with hull. At present, hanging structures are mostly used in high-rise buildings. It is it is seldom that large scaffolding construction uses hanging structures. It is difficult to judge whether the remaining structures will collapse continuously once the truss is damaged or invalided in the installation platform structure. For the installation platform, the progressive collapse of the structure will cause extensive damage to the secondary shield and serious casualties, resulting in incalculable losses. Therefore, the analysis of its anti-progressive collapse has important engineering significance.

2. Analysis Model

2.1 Model Unit Selection

At present, the finite element method is usually used to simulate the progressive collapse process of structures, the accuracy of the analysis model has a great influence on the evaluation results of progressive collapse. The secondary barrier installation platform of A-type tank is mainly composed of frame, connecting beam and expansion beam. The structures are connected with each other by linking pins for EC fasteners. From the point of view of connection mode, the installation platform is a hybrid connection mode. The components of the installation platform, such as the expansion beam structure and the connection beam, are connected by EC fasteners. The connection mode between the components is welding. The internal nodes of components can be simulated by common nodes in finite element calculation, while the EC fastener connections between components can be considered as semi-rigid connections. In the process of modeling using ANSYS Workbench, this paper simulates the connection of nodes between components by spring element, and simulates semi-rigidity of nodes by inserting three-direction non-linear rotating spring. According to Technical Specification for Safety of Portal Steel Tube Scaffold in Construction (JGJ128-2000161), the tightening torque of fastener bolts should be 50-60 N.m, and not less than 40 N.m. Therefore, the initial stiffness of fastener connection is taken in the analysis of this chapter when the tightening moment is 60 N·M. The initial stiffness of the joint is 71.27 kN·M/rad at the connection of EC fasteners of the main components based on the research of Luzheng of Zhejiang University.

2.2 Components of Installation Platform

The trapezoidal truss of the installation platform is made of H-section steel with 23 longitudinal sections and 8 transverse sections. Hollow square tubes are used for hanging frames and connecting components. The type of steel used in the structure is Q235B, and the related parameters of the installation platform components are shown in Table 1.

### Table 1. Section specification of installation platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Sectional specification</th>
<th>Cross section area/mm²</th>
<th>Plastic modulus of section/MPa</th>
<th>Plastic flexural capacity/kN·m</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/kN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>139×139×10×7</td>
<td>3613</td>
<td>98057.7</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>187.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>67×67×3</td>
<td>768</td>
<td>18445.5</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>172.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>52×52×2</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>7504</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58×58×3</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>13626</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>148.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37×37×2</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>3679</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>63.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>46×46×3</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>8127</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>116.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>90×48×4</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>19004</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>439.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>41×41×2</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>4567</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>57×57×3</td>
<td>648</td>
<td>13135.5</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>145.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3 Component Importance Evaluation

The installation platform belongs to large steel structure. If the whole structure is dismantled one by one to calculate the importance coefficient of each truss, the workload will be enormous. Platform structure is symmetrical in the direction of captain, so only one half of the model of installation platform is used to evaluate the importance of truss. The steel frames of each truss are divided into three areas: A, B and C. The number of each steel frame is shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1. Frame number of Installation platform](image)

For non-frame structures, it is necessary for designers...
to evaluate the importance of components \cite{8}. According to the relevant research results, the main methods of evaluating the importance of components are based stiffness-based, energy-based and strength-based \cite{9}. In this paper, energy-based judgment method is used to evaluate the importance of components, calculate the importance coefficients of each truss, and ultimately determine the key truss. The importance coefficients of each truss are shown in Table 2.

2.4 The Number of Structure

In order to facilitate the follow-up analysis, the members of each steel frame are numbered in the form of steel frame number-member+layer number. For example, the fifth suspender of A1 frame is expressed as A1-DG5, and the fifth walkway beam of A1 frame is expressed as A1-ZD5. The connecting beams between the two frames are numbered in the form of steel frame number-steel frame number-connecting beams+layers. For example, the corridor connecting beams between A1 steel frame and A2 steel frame are named A1-A2-ZDLJ1, A1-A2-ZDLJ2, A1-A2-ZDLJ3, A1-A2-ZDLJ4, A1-A2-ZDLJ5 according to the number of layers. The fifth floor frame connecting beam between A1 steel frame and A2 steel frame near the aisle is named A1-A2-KJLJ5a, and the other side is named A1-A2-KJLJ5b. Since the installation platform is a plane symmetrical structure about A1 steel frame, the A2 steel frame about A1 steel frame is named A-2', and the other components are the same.

3. Analysis of Calculation Results

3.1 Structural Response to Failure of a Truss

3.1.1 Demolition of Truss A1-HJ

After removing the truss A1-HJ, the response of the structure is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen from the Figure that the maximum displacement of the surrounding structure after removing the truss is 29.9 mm (Figure (a)). The axial force of the suspender is greater than other tension members in the same steel frame. Therefore, the suspender is selected to judge the failure of the tension members. The maximum axial force of the adjacent suspender is A2’-DG5, and the axial stress is 122 MPa (Figure (b)). Through the analysis of the axial force of the members connected with the truss, it is found that the suspender in the expansion beam area has relatively large axial force among the steel frames. The failure judgment of A2 steel frame and A2 steel frame suspender in adjacent area of failure truss is made. As shown in Table 3, it shows that the progressive collapse of residual structure will not occur after A1-HJ is completely removed. The maximum shear force of beam section is 60.1kN at the connection of A2 truss and suspender (Figure (c)), which does not reach the failure limit of section. The results show that the shear force of the corridor connection beam is larger than that of the frame connection beam, and the shear ultimate bearing capacity of the section of the corridor connection beam is smaller than that of the frame connection beam. Therefore, the shear failure of the corridor connection beam is judged, as shown in Table 4. The plastic bending capacity of the frame linking beams connected with the dismantled truss is 1.91 kN m, and that of the walkway connection beams is 1.07 kN M. As shown in the bending moment diagram of Figure (d), the maximum bending moment of each connection beam is 1.02 kN m, and the section does not enter the plasticity, so there will be no plastic hinges. The maximum stress of the structure appears at the junction of A2_HJ and A2_-DG5 (Figure (e)), and the maximum stress is 235.4 MPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of removed component</th>
<th>A1-HJ</th>
<th>A2-HJ</th>
<th>A3-HJ</th>
<th>A4-HJ</th>
<th>A5-HJ</th>
<th>A6-HJ</th>
<th>A7-HJ</th>
<th>A8-HJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of importance</td>
<td>0.1846</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
<td>0.0849</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of removed component</td>
<td>A9-HJ</td>
<td>A10-HJ</td>
<td>A11-HJ</td>
<td>A12-HJ</td>
<td>B1-HJ</td>
<td>B2-HJ</td>
<td>B3-HJ</td>
<td>B4-HJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of importance</td>
<td>0.0804</td>
<td>0.0784</td>
<td>0.1167</td>
<td>0.1174</td>
<td>0.1846</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
<td>0.0849</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of removed component</td>
<td>B5-HJ</td>
<td>B6-HJ</td>
<td>B7-HJ</td>
<td>B8-HJ</td>
<td>B9-HJ</td>
<td>B10-HJ</td>
<td>B11-HJ</td>
<td>B12-HJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of importance</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
<td>0.0804</td>
<td>0.0784</td>
<td>0.1167</td>
<td>0.1174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of removed component</td>
<td>C1-HJ</td>
<td>C2-HJ</td>
<td>C3-HJ</td>
<td>C4-HJ</td>
<td>C5-HJ</td>
<td>C6-HJ</td>
<td>C7-HJ</td>
<td>C8-HJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of importance</td>
<td>0.0977</td>
<td>0.0813</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
<td>0.0826</td>
<td>0.0813</td>
<td>0.0977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Local structure response after removing A1-HJ

Table 3. Boom damage judgment after removal of A1-HJ
(Based on boom axial deformation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of suspender</th>
<th>Displacement of upper end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Displacement of lower end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Axial deformation of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Deformation limit/mm</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG1</td>
<td>-6.62</td>
<td>-6.83</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG2</td>
<td>-6.15</td>
<td>-6.58</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG3</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
<td>-6.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG4</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>-5.30</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG5</td>
<td>-2.67</td>
<td>-4.19</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2’-DG1</td>
<td>-6.93</td>
<td>-7.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2’-DG2</td>
<td>-6.42</td>
<td>-6.87</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2’-DG3</td>
<td>-5.63</td>
<td>-6.33</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2’-DG4</td>
<td>-4.51</td>
<td>-5.50</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2’-DG5</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Beam failure analysis after removal of A1-HJ
(Based on beam end shear)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Maximum shear force after removal of suspender/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/ratio</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.1.2 Demolition of Truss A11-HJ

After removing the truss A11-HJ, the response of the structure is shown in Figure 3. The maximum displacement of the surrounding structure after removing the truss is 11.8 mm (Figure (a)). Since A12-HJ links two suspenders, the number suffix of the suspender near the ship’s side is added by “a”. The maximum axial force in the adjacent suspender is A12-DG5a (Figure (b)). The axial stress is 123.6 MPa. The failure analysis is carried out as shown in Table 5. The plastic bending capacity of the frame connection beams connected with the dismantled truss is 1.91 kN. M. The plastic bending capacity of the walkway connection beams and corner connection beams is 1.07 kN. M. The maximum bending moment of each connection beams is 0.48 kN. m (Figure (d)). The section does not enter into plasticity and plastic hinges will not appear. The maximum stress of the structure occurs in the corner connecting beam, and the maximum stress is 204.9 MPa (Figure (e)). The corner connection beams in A12-HJ plane are numbered A12-JQLJ1~A12-JQLJ5 according to the number of layers. According to Table 6, the maximum shear force of each steel beam section is less than the ultimate shear bearing capacity, and shear failure will not occur. Therefore, progressive collapse of the remaining structure will not occur after A11-HJ is completely invalidated.

![Figure 3. Local structure response after removing A11-HJ](https://doi.org/10.30564/hsme.v2i2.1691)
Table 5. Boom damage judgment after removal of A11-HJ (Based on boom axial deformation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of suspender</th>
<th>Displacement of upper end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Displacement of lower end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Axial deformation of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Deformation limit /mm</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A12-DG5</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
<td>-3.57</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Beam failure analysis after removal of A11-HJ (Based on beam end shear)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Maximum shear force after removal of suspender/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity ratio</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A10-A11-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10-A11-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10-A11-ZDLJ3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10-A11-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10-A11-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-JQLJ1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-JQLJ2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-JQLJ3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-JQLJ4</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-JQLJ5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10-HJ</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12-HJ</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3 Demolition of Truss C4-HJ

After removing the truss C4-HJ, the response of the structure is shown in Figure 4. The maximum displacement of the surrounding structure after removing the truss is 16.4 mm (Figure (a)). The C3-DG5 has the largest axial force and the axial stress is 112.3 MPa (Figure (b)). The failure analysis of C3-DG5 is carried out as shown in Table 7. The plastic bending capacity of the frame connection beam connected with the dismantled truss is 1.91 kN m, and the plastic bending capacity of the corridor connection beam is 1.07 kN m, while the maximum bending moment of each connection beam is 0.41 kN m (Figure (d)). The section does not enter into plasticity and no plastic hinge will appear. The maximum stress occurs at the junction of C3-HJ and C3-DG5, and the maximum stress is 214.5 MPa (Figure (e)). Table 8 shows the results of failure analysis of steel beams after removal of C4-HJ based on shear force. It shows that the maximum shear force of each section of steel beams is less than the ultimate shear bearing capacity, shear failure will not occur, and progressive collapse of remaining structures will not occur after complete failure of C4-HJ.
According to the above analysis, it can be found that only single truss failures, the remaining structure will not appear progressive damage, indicating that the installation platform structure has good anti-progressive collapse performance. Table 9 gives a comparison of the responses of the remaining structures after removing the three trusses at different locations. It is found that the importance coefficient of the trusses is large, the response of the remaining structures is more significant after removing them.

**Table 9. Response of residual structure after removal of trusses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of truss</th>
<th>Coefficient of Importance</th>
<th>Maximum displacement of structure/mm</th>
<th>Maximum stress of shear force to bearing capacity of beams/kN</th>
<th>Maximum elongation of adjacent suspenders/mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1-HJ</td>
<td>0.1846</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>235.4</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11-HJ</td>
<td>0.1167</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>204.9</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-HJ</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>214.5</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Structural Response to Simultaneous Failure of Two Adjacent Trusses

A1-HJ has the greatest importance, and it is located on the symmetrical plane of the captain direction of the installation platform. Therefore, the structural response of the truss A1-HJ and A2-HJ after simultaneous failure is analyzed, as shown in Figure 5. The maximum displacement of the local structure around the demolished member is 51.5mm (Figure (a)). The largest axial force of the suspender near the dismantled truss is A3-DG5, and the axial stress reaches 171 MPa (Figure (b)). The suspenders of A3 and A2 steel frames are judged. As shown in Table 10, all suspenders will not be damaged. The plastic bending capacity of frame connection beams is 1910N m, the cross-section plastic bending capacity of walkway connection beams is 1070N m, and the maximum bending moment of the connection beams around the dismantled truss is 604.8N m (Figure (d)). No plastic hinges will appear at the end of the beams. The maximum stress of the platform structure is 328.7 MPa (Figure (e)), which appears at the end of A3-A2-ZDLJ5. Table 11 shows the results of failure analysis of the beam after removing A1-HJ and A2-HJ according to the shear force at the end of the beam. It shows that the maximum shear force of the cross-section of the connecting beams and the walkway beams is less than the ultimate shear bearing capacity, and there will be no shear failure, so there will be no progressive collapse of the remaining structures after the truss A1-HJ and A2-HJ fail completely.

**Table 10. Beam failure analysis after removal of C4-HJ**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Maximum shear force after removal of suspender/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/kN</th>
<th>ratio</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4-ZDLJ3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-C4-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5-ZDLJ3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-C5-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-HJ</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-HJ</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Local structure response after removing A1-HJ, A2-HJ

Table 10. Boom damage judgment after removal of A1-HJ, A2-HJ (Based on boom axial deformation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of suspender</th>
<th>Displacement of upper end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Displacement of lower end node of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Axial deformation of suspender/mm</th>
<th>Deformation limit/mm</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A3’-DG1</td>
<td>-9.93</td>
<td>-10.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-DG2</td>
<td>-9.23</td>
<td>-9.87</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-DG3</td>
<td>-8.11</td>
<td>-9.11</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-DG4</td>
<td>-6.51</td>
<td>-7.93</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-DG5</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td>-6.29</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG1</td>
<td>-8.20</td>
<td>-8.47</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG2</td>
<td>-7.61</td>
<td>-8.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG3</td>
<td>-6.69</td>
<td>-7.52</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG4</td>
<td>-5.38</td>
<td>-6.55</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-DG5</td>
<td>-3.29</td>
<td>-5.18</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Beam failure analysis after removal of A1-HJ, A2-HJ (Based on beam end shear)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Maximum shear force after removal of suspender/kN</th>
<th>Shear ultimate bearing capacity/kN</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Component failure judgment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2-ZDLJ3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-A1-ZDLJ5</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-A1-ZDLJ4</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The sum of A1-HJ and A2-HJ importance coefficients is the largest in the adjacent two trusses. According to the conclusion of section 2.1, it can be concluded that the adjacent two trusses fail and the remaining structure will not collapse continuously.

### 3.3 Structural Response of Three Trusses to Simultaneous Failure

A1-HJ is of the greatest importance and it is located on the symmetrical plane in the direction of the captain of the installation platform. Therefore, the structural responses of three trusses in this area after simultaneous failure are analyzed, as shown in Figure 6. The steel frame area of hanging layer A1 has a large displacement, reaching 110 mm (Figure (a)). At the lower chord of A3'-HJ, the maximum shear force is 142 kN (Figure (c)). The maximum shear force of the corridor connection beam is 24.2 kN. The ultimate shear force of the corridor connection beam is 70.2 kN. The ultimate shear force of the frame connection beam is 0.98 kN. The ultimate shear force of the frame connection beam is 116.1 kN. No shear failure occurs. The maximum positive bending moment is 1.3 kN m (Figure (d)) and the plastic bending capacity is 1.07 kN m, which has entered the plasticity. The maximum negative bending moment occurs at the end of A3'-ZD5, which is 1.87 kN m. The plastic bending capacity of the cross-section of the walkway beam is 3.2 kN m, and bending failure will not occur. The maximum bending moment is 0.97 kN m and the plastic bending capacity is 1.91 kN m, so there will be no bending failure. The maximum stress of the structure is 576 MPa (Figure (e)). It occurs at the end of A3-A2-ZDLJ5, far exceeding the material failure stress of 450 MPa. At the same time, the material failure stress is also exceeded by the lower chord of A3'-HJ and A3-HJ, the end of A3'-A2'-ZDLJ5 and the end of A3-A2-ZDLJ4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ZDLJ3</th>
<th>9.8</th>
<th>70.2</th>
<th>0.140</th>
<th>unspoiled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZDLJ2</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZDLJ1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>70.2</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-ZD5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-ZD4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-ZD3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-ZD2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-ZD1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZD5</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZD4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZD3</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZD2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-ZD1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3'-HJ</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2-HJ</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>187.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>unspoiled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Local structural deformation after removal of truss
(b) Axis force of suspender after removal of truss
(c) Shear force of surrounding members after removal of truss
(d) Bending moment of connecting beam after removal of truss
The damage element is killed and the residual structure is analyzed. The calculation results are shown in Figure 8. The maximum displacement of the dismantled truss area is 542.5 mm (Figure (a)); the minimum bending moment of the frame connection beam between A3’ and A2’ is 3.9 kN.m (Figure (b)), forming plastic hinges. As shown in Table 12, the plastic hinge rotation angles at the ends of each frame connection beam exceed the limit of 6.0 plastic hinge angle of the steel beam. It can be judged that the end sections of each frame connection beam between A3’ and A2’ is destroyed. Large-scale collapse and destruction occurred in the region. Therefore, when A1-HJ, A2-HJ and A2’-HJ are demolished at the same time, progressive damage will be caused, leading to the collapse of large-scale structures. In the process of using the structure, it is necessary to pay attention to the truss in this area to prevent the simultaneous failure of A1-HJ, A2-HJ and A2’-HJ, and to avoid unnecessary losses.
Table 12. The angle of frame connection beam between A3’ and A2’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Displacement Difference of Beam End Joints/mm</th>
<th>Beam length/mm</th>
<th>Corner of beam end/°</th>
<th>Deformation limit/°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ5a</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ5b</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ4a</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ4b</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ3a</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ3b</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ2a</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ2b</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ1a</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3’-A2’-KJLJ1b</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

This paper simulates the response of the remaining structure after the failure of some trusses of the secondary shielding installation platform for A-type tank, and evaluates the anti-progressive collapse ability of the installation platform structure. The conclusions are as follows:

(1) The failure of three trusses with larger importance coefficient is simulated separately. It is found that the importance coefficient of components is larger, the influence of failure on the residual structure is greater, and the failure analysis of two trusses is also guided.

(2) No further progressive damage will occur after the two trusses of the installation platform completely are invalid.

(3) When A1-HJ, A2-HJ and A2’-HJ are demolished at the same time, progressive damage will be caused locally, which can lead to the collapse of large-scale structures. To prevent the failure of the suspenders ZD-1 and ZD-2 at the same time and avoid unnecessary losses, it is necessary to pay attention to the suspenders of this area.

(4) The vertical bearing components of the installation platform all bear tension which do not need to consider the instability, and the spatial arrangement of the structure is relatively flexible. Therefore, the installation platform is a relatively promising structural form.
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ABSTRACT

Declines in wind speed (u) (termed as “stilling”) has been reported in many regions of the world. To explore the temporal trends of u and its aerodynamic effects is vital to understand the changes in water resources. This study analyzed the changes of temporal trends for u and its aerodynamic effects using the data during 1959-2000 at 266 stations across China. The improved PenPan model was used to estimate pan evaporation (E_{pan}) and quantify the contribution of radiative and aerodynamic components (aerodynamic component separated into wind speed u, vapour pressure deficit D, and air temperature T). Climate factors include E_{pan} measured with the standard Chinese 20 cm diameter pan, u, T, relative humidity (rh) and sunshine hours (sh). The results showed: stilling occurred in most of stations (206 among 266) and 105 stations presented significant decreasing trends at 99% confidence level; stilling was the main cause for controlling the trends in E_{pan} in most part of China, especially in the west and north of China. The results indicated that decreasing trends in E_{pan} due to stilling would inevitably alter water resources, and should be put further investigation incorporation other factors.

1. Introduction

Declining rates of observed near-surface wind speed (u) (termed a “stilling”, [1]) are usually on the order of -0.010 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) [2]. Stilling has been reported in many regions around the world, summarized by McVicar et al. [3] in Table 2, e.g., Australia [1], China [4], and North America [5]. Stilling alters the aerodynamic condition, which is the key factor in the fully physical-
ly-based models to assess the evaporation demand \cite{6,7}, such as potential evaporation ($E_p$), references evapotranspiration ($ET_o$) and pan evaporation ($E_{pan}$). Due to its simplicity and cost effectiveness, $E_{pan}$ has been widely used to reflect the evaporation demand of the atmosphere when estimating terrestrial evaporation \cite{8} and crop water requirement \cite{9}.

The “evaporation paradox” phenomenon, that is decline trend in pan evaporation with increasing trend in air temperature, has drawn great attention to explore causes for changes in pan evaporation and its application for global hydrological cycle \cite{12,10}. Different from the empirical method used to estimate pan evaporation \cite{11-15}, Rostayn et al. \cite{16} combined the works of Linacre \cite{17} and Thom et al. \cite{18} to develop a steady state pan evaporation model for a US Class A pan called the “PenPan model, which has been used to assess the cause of pan evaporation (e.g., Roderick et al., \cite{1}). Improved by Yang and Yang \cite{19}, PenPan model also was used to simulate the changes in pan evaporation for the standard Chinese 20 cm diameter pan (D20 pan). According to Yang and Yang \cite{19} and Xie et al. \cite{20}, the declines in $u$ were the main causes for changes in pan evaporation in most parts of China. As pointed out by Thom et al. \cite{18}, vapour transfer function $f_q(u)$ depends not only on wind speed but also on the difference between surface temperature for water and air temperature \cite{18}. Thom et al., (1981) \cite{18} deduced the wind function $f_q(u)$ (unit: mm d$^{-1}$ mb$^{-1}$) as:

$$f_q(u) = 0.12 \times (1+1.35u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where, $u$ (m s$^{-1}$) is the mean wind speed at two meters above the ground. Yang and Yang (2012) \cite{20} deduced the $f_q(u)$ using the data in Beijing stations as:

$$f_q(u) = 5.4 \times (1+0.40u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Vapour transfer function should be an attractive approach to establish a physical model and derive its differential to analyze the attribution of changes in $E_{pan}$ \cite{19}. Consequently, the objectives of the present study are: (i) to explore the temporal trends for $u$ across China, and explain where can find the stilling; and (ii) to improve the PenPan model using vapour transfer function, and to explain aerodynamic effects of declines of $u$. To address these objectives the remainder of this paper is structured as followed: section 2 presented the physical model for analyzing the aerodynamic effects of stilling; section 3 explained the materials and method; section 4 explored the temporal trends for $u$; and section 5 gave the aerodynamic effects of the stilling.

2. Materials and Method

2.1 Assessing the Aerodynamic Effects of Stilling Using PenPan Model

The PenPan model is based on Penman’s combination equation, using Linacre \cite{17} and Thom et al. \cite{18} models to describe the radiative and aerodynamic components \cite{16}, respectively. The PenPan model can be represented as:

$$E_p = E_{p,R} + E_{p,A} = \left( \frac{s}{s + a\gamma} R_a \right) + \left( \frac{a\gamma}{s + a\gamma} f_q(u)D \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where, $s$ (P$\_m$ K$^{-1}$) is the change in saturation vapour pressure ($e_s$, Pa) with temperature evaluated at the air temperature ($T_a$, K) two meters above the ground, $R_a$ (W m$^{-2}$) is the net radiation on the pan, $\lambda$ (J kg$^{-1}$) is the latent heat of vaporization, $a$ is the ratio of effective surface area for heat and vapour transfer ($a=5$ for D20 pan) \cite{19}, $\gamma$ (67 Pa K$^{-1}$) is the psychrometric constant, $D$ (= $e_s-e_u$, Pa) is the vapour pressure deficit at two meters, and $f_q(u)$ (mm d$^{-1}$ mb$^{-1}$) is the vapour transfer function.

Following Roderick et al., \cite{1}, changes in pan evaporation can result from radiative and aerodynamic components and be given by differentiating equation,

$$\frac{dE_p}{dt} = \frac{dE_{p,R}}{dt} + \frac{dE_{p,A}}{dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then $dE_{p,R}/dt$ is partitioned into three components, denoted $U^*$, $D^*$ and $T^*$ for changes in $u$, $D$ and $T_a$ respectively. The components are defined by,

$$\frac{dE_{p,A}}{dt} \approx \frac{dE_{p,R}}{du} \frac{du}{dt} + \frac{dE_{p,R}}{dD} \frac{dD}{dt} + \frac{dE_{p,R}}{dT_a} \frac{dT_a}{dt} = U^* + D^* + T^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

As $u$ trends present different patterns, we get $f_q(u)$ – $u$ from 266 stations using 1959-1969 monthly data. According to the $f_q(u)$, the improved PenPan model based on Yang and Yang’s \cite{19} equation is used to assess the aerodynamic effects of $u$ changes across China.

2.2 Meteorological Data

In order to test the temporal trends for $u$ and its aerodynamic effects on $E_{pan}$, data were collected from China Meteorological Administration (CMA), including monthly $E_{pan}$, $u$, $T_a$, relative humidity ($rh$) and sunshine hours ($sh$) from 266 stations across China (Figure 1). Considering the data integrity and continuity, 266 stations were selected to do this work during 1959-2000 (Figure 1).
Due to that radiation component was not observed at most stations, net radiation \((R_n)\) was calculated at monthly scale using the equation as follows:

\[
R_n = (1 - \alpha_p)R_p + R_{l,in} - R_{l,out} ,
\]

(6)

where, \(R_p\) is the incoming shortwave radiation on a pan, \(R_{l,in}\) is the incoming longwave radiation, \(R_{l,out}\) is the outgoing longwave radiation, estimated by assuming that the pan is a black body radiating at \(T_a\), \(\alpha_p\) is constant (=0.14).

\[
R_p = [f_{dir}P_{rad} + 2.0 \times (1 - f_{dir})] R_s ,
\]

(7)

where \(R_s\) is the downward solar irradiance at the surface, \(f_{dir}\) is the fraction of \(R_s\) that is direct, \(A_s\) (=0.23) is the albedo of the ground surrounding the pan, and \(P_{rad}\) is the pan radiation factor, which accounts for the extra direct irradiance intercepted by the walls of the pan when the sun is not directly overhead.

\[
R_{l,in} = \sigma T_{a}^4 (1 - 0.34 - 0.14(\varepsilon_a / 1000)) (1.35R_s / (R_s(0.75 + 2 \times 10^{-5} z)) - 0.35)) ,
\]

(8)

where \(\sigma\) is Stefan-Boltzmann constant \((4.903 \times 10^{-9} \text{ MJ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-4} \text{ day}^{-1})\), \(\varepsilon_a\) is the actual vapor pressure \((P_a)\), \(R_s\) is solar radiation on the top of the atmosphere, and \(z\) (m) is the station elevation.[9]

3. Results

3.1 The Trends Pattern of Wind Speed Over China

As showed in Figure 2, stilling phenomena has experienced across China. The average \(u\) trend of -0.012 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\) was in agreement with results presented for other mid-latitude site. Furthermore, temporal trends for \(u\) in mid-latitude basins (i.e. HuaiRB: -0.023 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\); NWRB: -0.022 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) presented obviously downward trends, followed by north basins (i.e. SHRB: -0.015 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\), LHRB: -0.013 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)) and south regions (i.e. YzRB: -0.008 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\), PRB: -0.008 and SWRB: -0.001 m s\(^{-1}\) a\(^{-1}\)).

![Figure 1. Locations of meteorological stations across China used in this study. Furthermore, basins also presented in the Figure, such as Songhua River Basin (SRB), Liaohe River Basin (LRB), Haihe River Basin (HHRB), Yellow River Basin (YRB), Huaihe River Basin (HuaiRB), Yangtze River Basin (YzRB), Pearl River Basin (PRB), Southwest Rivers Basin (SWRB), Northwest Rivers Basin (NWRB) and Southeast Rivers Basin (SERB)](image1)

![Figure 2. The temporal trend of \(u\) in different regions of China. Furthermore, number of stations used in different basin also presented in the bracket](image2)
Figure 3. Temporal trends for $u$ (a) and $E_{pan}$ (b) across China during 1959–2000. If the trend is significant ($P < 0.01$), a ring is placed around the dot; units is $\text{m s}^{-1}$ for $u$ and $\text{mm a}^{-2}$ for $E_{pan}$. The values located in the bottom of plot are interpreted as follows. The first line shows in order from left to right: (1) the number of stations with positive $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trends; (2) in parenthesis the number of stations with significant ($P < 0.01$) positive $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trends; (3) the $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trend (units = $\text{mm a}^{-2}$) calculated for all stations with positive trends; and (4) in parenthesis the $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trend (units = $\text{mm a}^{-2}$) calculated for all stations with significant ($P < 0.01$) positive $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trends. The second line presents the same four statistics except for stations exhibiting negative $u$ and $E_{pan}$ trends.

The temporal trends for $u$ (Figure 3a) presented that: (1) most of stations (205 among 266) presented negative trends with an average slope of -0.0174 m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$, while only 61 stations showed positive trends with an average slope of 0.0077 m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$; (2) especially, there were 154 stations showing significant negative trends at 99% confidence level with an average slope of -0.0215 mm a$^{-2}$, and only 19 stations showed significant positive trends at 99% confident level with an average slope of 0.0149 mm a$^{-2}$. Stilling in $u$ altered the $E_{pan}$ trends. As showed in Figure 3b, $E_{pan}$ presented similar trends with the changes in $u$ trends: (1) most of stations (187 among 266) showed negative trends, while only 79 stations presented positive trends; (2) the numbers of stations showing negative and positive significant trends at 99% confident level were 94 and 17, respectively.

3.2 Aerodynamic Effects of Stilling on the $E_{pan}$

Using data from 266 stations during 1959–1969, $f_q(u)$ equation can be recalibrated from equation (9):

$$f_q(u) = 9.58 \times (1 + 0.40u)$$

$R^2 = 0.27$. (9)

The equation was used to simulate the $E_{pan}$ across China. The calculated $E_{pan}$ was compared with observed $E_{pan}$ in 266 stations (Figure 4). Compared with results using Yang and Yang’s equation [19] ($y=2.54+1.62x$, $R^2=0.91$, RMSE=107 mm mth$^{-1}$), the agreement between improved modeled and observed $E_{pan}$ at 266 stations ($y=8.73+0.99x$, $R^2=0.94$, RMSE=23 mm mth$^{-1}$) was excellent.
Following the method provided by Roderick et al. [1], we separated the $E_{pan}$ rate into radiative and aerodynamic components, and then aerodynamic component was separated into three individual components ($U^*$, $D^*$ and $T^*$) (showed in Table 1 and Figure 5). The results showed: (1) changes in aerodynamic component controlled the trends in $E_{pan}$ (Figure 5.c), and changes in $u$ contributed majority of changes in $E_{pan}$ trends (Figure 5d); (2) the changes in D (Figure 5e) and $T_s$ (Figure 5f) attributed a minor changes in $E_{pan}$ trends; (3) as expected, $E_{pan}$ trends and its radiative and aerodynamic components showed spatial variations, i.e., $u$ contributed negative effects in $E_{pan}$ trends, especially in NWRB and middle and lower regions of YRB and Upper reaches of HuaiRB. The downward trends in $u$ resulted in two regions showing large decreasing trends in $E_{pan}$, NWRB, and the regions in Middle-lower regions YRB and upper HuaiRB (Table 1 and Figure 5), that consistent with the trends in OBS $E_{pan}$ (Figure 3b); and (4) as showed in Table 1 and Figure 5, $u$ and $T_s$ played negative effect in $E_{pan,a}$ trends, while changes in $D$ contributed a positive effect in $E_{pan,a}$ trends, which resulted in an increasing $E_{pan}$ trends in YRB, PRB, SWRB and SERB and opposite trends showed in others basins (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Observed (OBS) and model-calculated (CACL) trends in $E_{pan}$ rate ($dE_{pan}/dt$, in mm a$^{-2}$) in different regions of China for 1959-2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>OBS</th>
<th>CAL-C=Rad+Aero</th>
<th>Rad</th>
<th>Aero</th>
<th>Aero Partition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$dE_{pan}/dt$</td>
<td>$dE_{pan}/dt$</td>
<td>$dE_{pan,c}/dt$</td>
<td>$dE_{pan,a}/dt$</td>
<td>$U^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>-3.06</td>
<td>-1.11</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
<td>-2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB</td>
<td>-1.21</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRB</td>
<td>-0.89</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>-2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHRB</td>
<td>-4.41</td>
<td>-1.45</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-1.66</td>
<td>-4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRB</td>
<td>-2.82</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HuaiRB</td>
<td>-7.48</td>
<td>-2.25</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>-1.81</td>
<td>-2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YzRB</td>
<td>-3.00</td>
<td>-1.61</td>
<td>-0.51</td>
<td>-1.10</td>
<td>-1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRB</td>
<td>-3.10</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWRB</td>
<td>-4.38</td>
<td>-5.42</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>-5.83</td>
<td>-5.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWRB</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERB</td>
<td>-1.83</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5. Trends in simulated pan evaporation and its components at 266 stations for the period 1959-2000. (a) Calculated $E_{pan}$ rate. (b) Radiative component of pan evaporation ($E_{pan,R}$) rate. The trends in the aerodynamic component are further partitioned into the change due to (d) $U^*$, (e) $D^*$, and (f) $T^*$. The change in each panel, averaged across all 266 stations is (a) -1.11 mm a$^{-2}$, (b) -0.06 mm a$^{-2}$, (c) -1.06 mm a$^{-2}$, (d) -2.51 mm a$^{-2}$, (e) +1.69 mm a$^{-2}$, and (f) -0.33 mm a$^{-2}$.

4. Discussion

4.1 Changes in Temporal Trends in Wind Speed

As addressed in Figure 3a, wind speed presented stilling phenomenon at 77% (205/266) stations across China with an average temporal trends at -0.011 m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$. Across China, in agreement with our study, downward trends in $u$ [4-19,23] have been widely reported. Similar decreasing trends from -0.004 m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ to -0.017 m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$ in near-surface $u$ have been widely observed across the globe over the last 30-50 years (i.e., since ~1960s to ~1980s) for a range of mid-latitude regions (McVicar et al. [3] their Table 4). As showed in Figure 2 and Figure 3a, large declines were found in northern China, while central and south-central China have the least change in $u$. Except for Tibetan Plateau, the results are consistent with report by Guo et al. [4]. The precise cause of the stilling is uncertain [5], the explained for this phenomena lies in two aspects: (1) changes of land surface roughness (e.g., increasing vegetation cover and urbanization); and (2) influences resulting from the climate changes (e.g., weakening of the East Asian winter and summer monsoons). E.g., Guo et al. [4] stratified China 652-station database into rural and large-urban cases, and deduced that urbanization strengthened $u$. While Li et al. [24], using 12 stations to study the greater Beijing Area during 1960-2008, suggested that urbanization contributed one-fifth of the regional mean declining trend about −0.05 m s$^{-1}$ (10 a)$^{-1}$, and also noted that changes in strong winds (i.e., wind extremes and winter winds) are influenced by large-scale climatic change. As pointed by Chen et al. [25], the warm and cold Arctic Oscillation and El Nino-Southern Oscillation phases have significant influence on probability distribution of wind speeds, and thus internal climate variability is a major source of both interannual and long-term variability. The weakening of the East Asian winter and summer monsoons is the cause for the distinct decreases of wind speed over the whole China [21,23]. Furthermore, sharp step in $u$ correspond well with the positive and negative phases of the interdecadal Pacific oscillation [22].
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4.2 Aerodynamic Effects of the Stilling in Wind Speed

Changes in $E_{\text{pan}}$ caused by aerodynamics changes are larger than that caused by radiative components in most of regions of China (8 of 10) (Table 1). Declines in $u$ play an important role in controlling the changes in $E_{\text{pan},A}$ than that resulting from vapour pressure deficit and air temperature (Showed in Figure 5 and Table 1). Consistent with our results, changes in $u$ is the main cause for changes in $E_{\text{pan}}$, $E_{\text{pan}}^{[19]}$, $E_{\text{pan}}^{[26]}$, $ET_{u}^{[27]}$, and $ET_{u}^{[29]}$ in China due to its significant downward trend and high sensitivity. Stilling reduced $E_{\text{pan}}$, $E_{\text{pan}}^{[29]}$, $ET_{u}^{[30]}$, and $ET_{u}^{[31]}$ rate, and has been regarded as important factor in explaining $E_{\text{pan}}$ paradox $^{[1,3]}$, which means it is important to consider all four primary meteorological variables (being $u$, atmospheric humidity, radiation and $T_{d}$) $^{[3]}$. As $u$ exerting greater influence on energy-limited water yielding catchments than water-limited ones, it is vital to incorporate other factors to assess the impacts of evaporation demand on long term water resources $^{[3]}$. Changes in $u$ combining with other meteorological variables led to larger changes in $E_{\text{pan}}$ in water-limited regions in northwest and North China (i.e., NWRB, SRB, LRB and HHRB) than that in energy-limited regions in South and central China (i.e., YzRB, PRB, SWRB and SERB) (shown in Table 1 and Figure 5). That indicated it is really hard to define the influence for the changes in $u$ on water resources when involving the actual evapotranspiration and streamflow in different regions. As pointed by McVicar et al. $^{[32]}$, impacts of stilling on actual evapotranspiration and streamflow are situation dependent.

5. Conclusion

Changes of $u$ during 1959-2000 from 266 stations across China presented an average decreasing trend of $-0.012$ m s$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$. There are 154 (among 205 negative trends stations) stations presenting significant decreasing trends at 99% confidence level while only 19 (among 61 positive trends stations) stations presenting significant increasing trends at 99% confidence level. Stilling in China was similar to the decrease reported over other terrestrial surface, which can explain the evaporation paradox.

Using a fully physical model (the improved Penpan model), we assessed the $E_{\text{pan}}$ trends, and then quantified the aerodynamic effects resulting from the $U^{*}$, $D^{*}$ and $T^{*}$. Stilling was the main cause for controlling the trends in $E_{\text{pan}}$ in most of part of China, especially in the west and north of China. Our results suggest that stilling can reduce evaporation demand, and inevitably alter the water resources especially in the energy-limited regions, which should be put to further investigation incorporating with other factors.
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ABSTRACT

In the present study, the flow over the stepped spillway was numerically investigated by using Flow3D model. The effect of step angle on different properties of Nappe flow regime such as the water surface profile, location of free-surface aeration inception, Froude number at the spillway’s toe, and pressure, flow velocity, air concentration and cavitation index were evaluated. The realizable k-ε was applied as the turbulence model, and Volume of Fluid (VOF) model was used to determine the free surface flow profiles of the spillway. The model was verified using experimental data. In order to investigate the different characteristics of Nappe flow regime, 17 numerical runs was designed, in which, four step angles, four flow discharge were considered to investigate the flow characteristics over the stepped spillway. The results indicated that the numerical model is well suited with the experimental data over the stepped spillway (RMSE = 0.147 and ARE = 6.9%). In addition, with increasing the step angles, the aeration inception point is generally moved downstream. By increasing the step angles from zero to 10 degrees, the Froude number does not change significantly, however, at the angle of 15 degrees, the Froude number decreases by about 42 percent.
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1. Introduction

Stepped spillways are the direct spillways that the weir crest is connected by successive steps to the spillway’s toe. These spillways have a unique structure that results in a different flow structure than that of smooth spillways [1-4]. In general, the flow pattern over a stepped spillway can be divided into nappe flow, transition flow, and skimming flow. One of the important issues in the design of these type of spillways is the energy dissipation. Stepped spillways are used for dissipating the generated energy through the spillway, which reduces the dimensions of the stilling basin. In this regards, different researchers, including Sorensen (1985) [1], Christodoulou [5], Chen et al. [6], Chinnarasri and Wongwises [7], Gonzalez et al. [8], Felder and Chanson [9], Zare and Doering [10], Otun et al. [11], Aras and Berkun [12], and Munta and Otun [13] have studied the effect of the flow pattern, step roughness, step size, and slope of a stepped spillway on the energy dissipation.

One of the important issues in the design of stepped spillways is the cavitation phenomenon. Typically, in dif-
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Different types of spillways and weirs, as the flow velocity exceeds a critical value, the structure is subject to the cavitation, in which, the evaporation is occurred due to the reduction in the local pressure at a constant temperature. Cavitation is one of the important issues that has always been of interest to designers and researchers in the design of hydraulic structures, either in closed systems (including pumps and turbines) or in open-flow systems (such as tunnels, dams, spillways, etc.). In order to prevent the cavitation, it is necessary to identify the locations of the points, in which, by increasing the flow velocity the pressure can be reduced up to the vapor pressure. Cavitation damage is associated with many factors and parameters, including cavitation index ($\sigma$), flow velocity ($V$), surface strength of structural materials ($S$), operation period, air concentration ($C$). In this regard, a criterion, called the cavitation index ($\sigma_c$), is used, as follows:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P_0 - P_v}{\frac{1}{2} \rho u^2}$$

where, $P_0$ is local pressure, $P_v$ is the vapor pressure at a given temperature, $u$ is the flow velocity. The cavitation occurs when $\sigma$ is smaller or equal to $\sigma_c$. This critical value depends largely on the flow geometry, shape, and height of the surface roughness. According to Falvey (1990)’s study, the cavitation will not occur over the stepped spillways until $\sigma > 1.8$. In addition, the cavitation is considered as a serious problem when the flow velocity reaches 25 m/s. According to previous studies, there is a risk of cavitation for flow rates greater than 80 m$^2$/s. In principle, the cavitation index in the stepped spillways is high, and if it is not protective against cavitation, its critical discharge is more than 15 m$^3$/s.

The complex nature of the flow over the spillways has made it difficult to conduct a comprehensive numerical analysis. Numerous researchers have numerically investigated flow over the stepped spillways. Savage and Johnson [13] used Reynolds’s averaged equations for flow analysis using Flow3D software. They used the FAVOR method for rigid boundary modelling and the VOF model for the free surface. Tabara et al. [16] simulated the flow over the stepped spillways by ADINA software. In this study, two types of step sizes and four different layouts were considered. The parameters of velocity, measured pressure and free surface profile were simulated using Volume of Fluid (VOF) method. Chinnarasri and Wongsises [7] investigated the flow over a stepped spillway with sloping steps and developed some equations for minimum critical depth for formation of a continuous flow and maximum critical depth for skimming flow regime in a simple and sloping stepped spillways. Kositagittiwong et al. [17] analysed the velocity profiles over the stepped spillways using CFD simulations and large-scale laboratory experiments. They considered five different turbulence models of the Standard k-$\varepsilon$, the Realizable k-$\varepsilon$, the Renormalization group k-$\varepsilon$, the Standard k-$\omega$ and the shear stress transport k-$\omega$ model. The results showed that the numerical model involving any of these turbulence models can satisfactorily simulate the velocity profiles. All five turbulence models performed satisfactorily well on large-scale stepped spillways. The k-$\omega$ models may be slightly better suited in the lower region, while the realizable k-$\varepsilon$ model provided slightly better results in the upper part of the velocity profile. Rafi et al. [18] evaluated the effects of increased reservoir conservation level on velocities, discharge capacity, and cavitation risk of the spillway. They used mathematical model to estimate the flow velocities and cavitation risk. Shahheydari et al. [19] investigated numerically the flow over the stepped spillway using Flow3D software. They used RNG k-$\varepsilon$ model as the turbulence model, and Volume of Fluid (VOF) model to determine the free surface flow profiles. They designed 112 numerical spillway models (96 stepped spillway models and 16 smooth spillway models (i.e., WES profile)) in order to investigate the various features of skimming flow regime. They considered two step sizes, six configuration, four discharge and four profile slopes (15, 30, 45, and 60 degrees) with various relative discharges to investigate the energy dissipation and discharge coefficient. Their results indicated that the discharge coefficient and energy dissipation have inverse relationship. Also by increasing the relative discharges, the energy dissipation decreased and discharge coefficient increased. Dursun & Ozturk [20] studied the energy dissipation ratio and inception point location of stepped spillways with and without end sills using computational fluid dynamic (CFD) methods. Flow characteristics and air inception points were determined for slope angles of 30°, 40° and 50°. It was found that the length of the non-aerated flow region was closely related to energy dissipation. In addition, the flow characteristics of the stepped spillways can be reliably determined by using CFD analysis. Bai et al. [4] studied the pressure distributions of three types of stepped spillways with different horizontal face angles in fully developed skimming flow regions. They used horizontal surfaces of V-shaped steps and inverted V-shaped steps. They concluded that negative pressures on the vertical surfaces of V-shaped, inverted V-shaped and traditional stepped spillways occurred near the sidewalls, near the axial plane and along the entire cross-section, respectively. For all the stepped spillways studied. They found that the minimum pressure decreased
with increasing Froude number and increasing absolute values of the horizontal face angles.

Chinnarasri et al. \cite{21} simulated numerically the flow behaviour through smooth and stepped spillways using a multiphase flow model with the realizable k-ε model. Bayon et al. \cite{22} developed the numerical models of the flow in the non-aerated region of stepped spillways using diverse turbulence closures and discretization schemes implemented in two CFD codes of Open FOAM and FLOW-3D. They employed partial VOF (Volume of Fluid) and “True” VOF (TruVOF) approaches to simulate the free surface. The standard, RNG and Realizable k-ε, in addition to the SST k-ε model, were used for turbulence closure. They concluded that the models with turbulence closures of the k-ε family provide nearly the same predictions for the mean flow velocity with maximum differences on average smaller than 1%. Regarding discretization schemes, the first-order upwind method provides predictions for the mean flow velocity which are not significantly different (within 6%) than those obtained with second-order counterparts. However, these differences can be larger when maximum values of turbulent kinetic energy (TKE) and dissipation rate of TKE at the step edges are compared. In spite of the fact that the TruVOF (FLOW-3D®) method does not account for the tangential stresses at the air-water interface, the differences in the tracking of the free surface position among this method and the Partial VOF method (OpenFOAM) were found to be smaller than 3% along the stepped spillway. Bai et al. \cite{4} evaluated the pressure distribution of V-shaped stepped spillway using five turbulence models. They found a good agreement with physical values, however, the - model is slightly better than other turbulence models in simulating the pressure distribution of V-shaped stepped spillway. In addition, Wan et al. \cite{23} evaluated the application of SPH (surface CFD package) to investigate hydrodynamics and re-aeration over the stepped spillways. In the SPH method, the entrainment of dissolved oxygen (DO) was studied using a multiphase mass transfer SPH method for re-aeration. The numerical results were compared with the hydrodynamics data from Chanson and DO data from Cheng. Their simulation results showed that the velocity distribution and the location of free-surface aeration inception agree with the experimental results. Compared with the experimental results, the distribution of DO concentration over the stepped spillway is consistent with the experimental results. Their results showed that the two-phase DO mass transfer SPH model is reliable and reasonable for simulating the hydrodynamics characteristics and re-aeration process. Bai et al. \cite{4} studied experimentally the flow pattern in a V-shaped stepped spillway. Their results indicated that the flow structure is completely different from that of a traditional stepped spillway. They used the k-ε turbulence model to investigate the flow structure.

Many previous studies have focused on stepped spillways, most of them considered the step size and arrangement, among other factors; few studies have investigated the variations in the step shape, especially, the step angle. Therefore, in this paper, the flow pattern of a stepped spillway with different step angle was numerically studied. Furthermore, important flow characteristics such as the water surface profile, location of free-surface aeration inception, Froude number at the spillway’s toe, and pressure, flow velocity, air concentration and cavitation index were investigated based on a detailed comparison with a traditional stepped spillway. The results of the present study will help the engineers encountering with the design of the stepped spillways with high efficiency.

2. Materials and Methods

The geometry of the model includes a stepped spillway with the height of 4.5 m, crest length of 1.4 m, step height of 30 cm, step length of 50 cm and the number of 15 steps. To study the step angles, four different slopes of 0, 5, 10 and 15 degrees were created (Figure 1). Figure 1 shows the structure of the stepped spillway along with the location of free-surface aeration inception.

In order to ensure the accuracy of the model results, one type of spillway made at the University of Ottawa’s Lab Modeling was used and the results of a physical model were compared with a numerical model. To design the spillway, the USACE-WES design tables have been used in the Tabbara et al. (2005)’s study \cite{16}.

As an accurate and robust free-surface CFD package, FLOW-3D is an ideal tool for modeling the dam and spillway structures. FLOW-3D makes it easy to generate rating curves and detailed velocity profiles for complex spillways, including the effects of air entrainment and transport. This model also enables the quantification of structural stresses (pressure and shear forces) during normal and extreme operations, including transient effects due to gate operation. FLOW-3D is widely used to
confirm the hydraulic performance of proposed spillway designs and to help professionals meet dam safety requirements. The realizable k–ε turbulence model developed by Shih et al. [24] was used in this study, because it is useful for simulating the flow over the stepped spillways [25-26]. In addition, the air-water interface was simulated by the volume of fluid (VOF) method.

3. Verification Using Experimental Data

After obtaining the outputs of the numerical model for the flow discharge and water surface profile over the spillway, the numerical results were compared with the experimental data of Tabara et al. (2005) [16]. In this study, the water surface profile was determined using the Volume of Fluid method (VOF).

The values of the root mean squared error and average relative error were calculated using the Eqs. 2 and 3. Accordingly, the values of RMSE and MARE were equal to 0.147 and 6.9%, respectively, indicating that Flow-3D model has an appropriate ability to estimate the hydraulic parameters of the flow over the stepped spillways.

\[
RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i(\text{exp})} - Y_{i(\text{cal})})^2}
\]

\[
\%\text{MARE} = 100 \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{Y_{i(\text{exp})} - Y_{i(\text{cal})}}{Y_{i(\text{exp})}} \right|
\]

4. Results and Discussion

In order to investigate the effect of flow discharge, the simulations of this study were carried out with flow discharges (in unit width) of 1.157, 1.542, 1.928 and 2.313 m²/s. Also, to ensure occurring the cavitation, the simulations were performed for two discharges of 6 and 12 m²/s. In this study, in order to compare the results, the flow regime has been considered to be the same in all simulations. Table 1 shows the results of these analyses. According to the Essery and Horner (1978) [26] criteria, \( y_c / h > (y_c / h)_{\text{mean}} \), the flow regime is considered as Nappe flow in all the simulations.

\[
\left( \frac{y_c}{h} \right)_{\text{mean}} = 1.57 - 0.465 \frac{h}{l}
\]

![Figures 2 and 3 show the results of simulations performed on the stepped spillway with varying step angles of 0, 5, 10, and 15 degrees and different flow discharges. In these figures the fraction of entrained air are observed over the stepped spillway.](https://doi.org/10.30564/hsme.v2i2.2341)
Figure 2. The results of numerical simulations for flow discharge of 1.157 m²/s (a) θ = 0 (degree) (b) θ= 5 (degree) (c) θ = 10 (degree) (d) θ = 15 (degree)

Figure 3. The results of numerical simulations for flow discharge of 2.313 m²/s (a) θ = 0 (degree) (b) θ= 5 (degree) (c) θ = 10 (degree) (d) θ = 15 (degree)
Using the simulation results, the effect of step angle are evaluated on such characteristics of the flow as the water surface profile, location of free-surface aeration inception, Froude number at the spillway’s toe, and pressure, flow velocity, air concentration and cavitation index.

4.1 Water Surface Profile over the Stepped Spillway

The free surface of the flow are presented for the different step angles of 0, 5, 10, and 15 degrees in figure 4a and the flow discharge of 1.157 m$^2$/s (step angle of 15 degree) in figure 4b. As can be seen, the change in the step angles have a significant effect on the water surface profile in the middle steps and aerated region of the flow over the spillway. Increasing the step angles may increase the pseudo-bottom of the stepped spillway, and accordingly, this bottom will be rougher than the zero-degree step (Figure 4a-d).

Figure 4. The effect of step angles on the water surface profile over the stepped spillway (a) $Q = 1.157$ m$^2$/s (b) $Q = 1.542$ m$^2$/s (c) $Q = 1.928$ m$^2$/s and (d) $Q = 2.313$ m$^2$/s

In addition, Figure 5 shows the simulated water surface profiles over the stepped spillway for a given step angle and different flow discharges.
4.2 Location of Free-Surface Aeration Inception

In this study, the location of free-surface aeration inception was recorded and determined by measuring the water surface level at the starting point of aeration along the centerline of the stepped spillway. The results showed that with the increase of the step angles, the aeration inception point is generally moved downstream. The reason for these changes is that by increasing the aeration inception, the effect of the steps is exacerbated as roughness elements and aeration process is intensified.

4.3 Froude Number at the Spillway’s Toe

Given that the Froude number is an important factor in determining the dimensions of the stilling basin at the downstream of the spillway, the values of $F_{r, dw}$ are plotted against the step angle for different flow discharges in Figure 6. As shown in this figure, by increasing the step angles from zero to 10 degrees, the Froude number does not change significantly, however, at the angle of 15 degrees, the Froude number decreases by about 42 percent. It can be stated that the angle, in which the lowest Froude number is observed, is about 15 degree for stepped spillway. In addition, it can be concluded that increasing the step angle may increase the dissipated energy at the downstream of the stepped spillways.

### Figure 6. The values of Froude number at the toe of the stepped spillway for different flow discharges and step angles

4.4 Pressure Distribution

In order to investigate the effect of the step angle on the pressure variations on the spillway, the values of pressure over the spillway are plotted in Figure 7. As can be seen, the value of pressure on the initial steps is high, however, with increasing distance from the beginning of the spillway, the pressure decreases and reaches a constant value. In addition, increasing the flow discharge does not affect these changes, significantly.

### Figure 7. Pressure changes through the stepped spillway (a) $\theta = 0$ (degree) (b) $\theta = 5$ (degree)
4.5 Flow Velocity

Figure 8 shows the variations of mean velocity against the distance from the beginning of the spillway by increasing the step angle for two flow discharges of 1.157 to 2.313 m$^2$/s. It can be seen that with increasing the step angle, the average flow velocity for a given flow discharge will reduce the velocity will be disrupted and non-uniform. It can be concluded that increasing the step angle may lead to an increase in the height of the bed roughness, which will cause a loss and thus reduce the flow velocity. It is also observed in this figure that with increasing the step angle the flow resistance compared with the zero-degree stepped spillways.

4.6 Air Concentration

In order to investigate the effect of the step angles on the average air concentration over the stepped spillway, the air concentrations are plotted against the distance from the beginning of the spillway in figure 9. As can be seen, as the step angle increases, the air concentration in the initial steps decreases and for the end steps increases. The results showed that the average air concentrations increase significantly with the increase of distance from the beginning of the stepped spillway. So that the air concentration on the tenth step is about 4 to 8 percent and is 99 percent more than that of on the first step. This increase in air concentrations is associated with a reduction in the local pressure. It is also observed that the average air concentrations on the tenth step is about 36%, which increases by about 78% of the mean air concentration, compared to a concentration of 7.5% on the step with zero angle.
4.7 Cavitation Index

In this study, the Falvey (1990)’s criterion is used to investigate the occurrence of the cavitation phenomenon. Thus, the cavitation index was calculated using pressure and velocity values at different points in the stepped spillway. According to the Falvey (1990)’s study, the cavitation will occur if the cavitation index is less than 1.8.

Figure 10 shows the values of the cavitation index against the distance from the beginning of the stepped spillway. As can be seen, the cavitation phenomenon does not occur in all the discharges and step angles, because this index is greater than 1.8. In general, with the changes in the index, it is possible to consider the occurrence of the cavitation phenomenon.

In the above figures, it is observed that as the flow discharge increases, the cavitation index decreases, therefore, the occurrence of the cavitation phenomenon can be increased. It can be concluded that by increasing the flow discharge and, as a result, the velocity, the amount of pressure decreases. Therefore, the value of cavitation index decreases.

In addition, figure 11 shows the variation of the cavitation index against the distance from the beginning of the stepped spillway by increasing the step angle. It can be seen that with increasing the step angle, the cavitation index increases. Accordingly, it can be concluded that increasing the step angle reduces the occurrence of the cavitation phenomenon.
rence of the cavitation phenomenon. It can be said that with increasing the step angle, the flow velocity over the stepped spillway decreases and the flow pressure increases. These changes increase the amount of cavitation index.

Figure 11. Changes in the cavitation index during the spillway by increasing the step angles (a) $Q = 1.157 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ (b) $Q = 1.542 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ (c) $Q = 1.928 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ (d) $Q = 2.313 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

5. Conclusion

In this numerical study, the effect of step angle on the characteristics of the flow over the stepped spillways, consisting of water surface profile, location of free-surface aeration inception, Froude number at the spillway’s toe, and pressure, flow velocity, air concentration and cavitation index, has been investigated. The major results of this study are:

1. Validation of the results showed that the Flow3D model is very good in estimating hydraulic parameters, so that there was observed up to 7% error in the simulated water surface profile over the stepped spillway.

2. The changes in the step angles have a significant effect on the water surface profile in the middle steps and aerated region of the flow over the stepped spillway.

3. With the increase of the step angles, the aeration inception point is generally moved downstream. The reason for these changes is that by increasing the aeration inception, the effect of the steps is exacerbated as roughness elements and aeration process is intensified.

4. By increasing the step angles from zero to 10 degrees, the Froude number does not change significantly, however, at the angle of 15 degrees, the Froude number decreases by about 42 percent.

5. The value of pressure on the initial steps is high, however, with increasing distance from the beginning of the spillway, the pressure decreases and reaches a constant value. In addition, increasing flow discharge does not affect these changes, significantly.

6. Increasing the step angle may lead to an increase in the height of the bed roughness, which will cause a loss and thus reduce the flow velocity.

7. With increasing the step angles, the average air con-
centration over the stepped spillway decreases.

(8) The cavitation phenomenon does not occur in all the discharges and step angles, because this index is greater than 1.8.

(9) As the flow discharge increases, the cavitation index decreases, therefore, the occurrence of the cavitation phenomenon can be increased. It can be concluded that by increasing the flow discharge and, as a result, the velocity, the amount of pressure decreases. Therefore, the value of cavitation index decreases.
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ABSTRACT

This paper presents a review on previous activities of mining on water resources around active and abandoned mines/quarries across Ebonyi State, South-Eastern, Nigeria. As high demand for water increases due to population growth and rapid development across the state, it is of upmost importance to periodically review water quality and also monitor water resources. However, less information is available on evaluation of impact on mining activities on water resources. For the purpose of this research, related articles were downloaded from Google, published article on effect of mining on water resources was download and thoroughly studied to evaluate effect of mining on water resources of the study area. Findings revealed that past mining activities has lead to chains of complex chemical reactions that has altered the quality of water resources.

1. Introduction

The southern portion of Benue Trough is richly blessed with various mineral deposits. These mineral deposits cuts across each zone of the study area ranges from: (1) lead-zinc at Abakaliki, Ameka, Amorie, Mkpuma Akpatakpa, Amanchara and Alibaruhi and Enyigba in the form of their ores of sphalerite and galena respectively often associated with baryte mineralization of the southern Benue Trough sediments that it is primarily made up of four lodes namely; Ishiagu, Ameri and Ameki Enyigba (Figure 1a) (2), the abandoned limestone quarry at Nkalagu area that occur within the Turonian age of the Eze-Aku Formation (Figure 1b) (3) Salt/Brine that occur within Cretaceous rocks at Uburu, Okposi and Abakaliki (4) limestone quarry that occur at Umuoghara and others. The open cast and underground mining is used in mining of the above listed minerals, the presence of these mineral has attracted attention of both local and international investors. Mining of these minerals date back to 1925 [1], for example, lead-zinc mineral was exploited by a German mining company before the Nigerian civil war. The company employed open cast mining and the galena and sphalerite were beneficiated at the site by differential floatation using xanthate collector [2]. Mining within the study area occur in large and small scale, these activities has left most mining areas with abandoned mines pits, whose ephemeral runoffs are captured by short-lived streams that flows into the river and infiltrate into aqui-
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fer system. In the same vein, it has also caused series of ecohydrological and environmental problems, which have drawn attention from the public, the government and academia [3]. Previous scholar were of the view that mining activities within the study area has altered the quality of water resources and that of soil [4-10]. The effect of mining activities on water resources arise at different phase of the mining cycle, the mining processes, the mineral processing and operational stage. Globally, mining activities is one of the major activities that cause decline in water quality and most of the mining areas are faced with serious problems related to potable water both in terms of quantity and quality [11]. Generally, in the course of mining operation, huge quantities of water are generated and discharged into natural drainages without any beneficial use, leaving these areas as water deficit. In most cases, the discharged mine water were considered unsuitable for drinking purpose with presence of heavy metals that are in high concentrations, these mine water is referred to Acid Mine Drainage (AMD). [12] describe AMD as a chemical process developed due to oxidation of sulfide minerals under humid conditions, though it involves range of complex chemical reactions, geochemical, biochemical and physiochemical processes determined by local geology and geomorphology features. These processes often lead to acid mine generation alongside with several preventive and enhancing factors. [12] further pointed out that AMD is accepted as the principle water contaminant facing the mining industry. It is like a household name associated with different kind of mines. AMD can easier travel long distances causing a range of effects that may persist for decades [8]. AMD in abandoned and active mine are influenced by several factors such as the hydrology, hydrogeology, mineralogy, geology, climate conditions and topography. It is related to the geographical conditions within the mine and is site specific. Research carried out within the area suggested that mining activities has greatly affected water quality within the mine and quarries areas [13-17], for more on this see Table 1. Although different scholar have carried out research around active and abandoned mines in Ebonyi, to best of our knowledge larger percentage of their research were geared towards assessment of effect of mining activity on soil and heavy metals released from mining activities with emphasis on its absorption/intake in human and its effect on human. The aim of this paper is to discuss a synoptic overview of effect of past mining activities on water resources of study area.

Table 1. Previous research on mining activities and its effect on water resources around mines across the study area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Geology</th>
<th>Field of study</th>
<th>Geochemical Characterization/Water type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>Nkalagu</td>
<td>Asu River Group (ARG) / Eze Aku Formation (EAF)</td>
<td>Groundwater Analysis</td>
<td>(Cl⁻ - SO₄²⁻) is the dominant facies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Ebonyi state</td>
<td>ARG/EAF</td>
<td>Groundwater Analysis</td>
<td>Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺ + Cl⁻ (Water type)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1a. Lead-zinc mine at Enyigba mining site

Figure 1b. Limestone quarry site at Nkalagu
2. Location and Physiography

The study area is accessible through various networks of roads see Figure 2. The two major seasons that exist in the study area is the wet and dry seasons. The wet season spans from March to ending of October, while the dry season spans from October to ending of February, with temperature range of 25 and 29°C between the dry season and 16° and 28°C during the rainy season. While the average monthly rainfall ranges from 3.1 mm in January and 270 mm in July. The annual rainfall of the study area ranges from 1750 to 2250 mm. It was of the view that the climate of the area tends to support pollution from the mining and quarry activities. It was observed that surface runoff that transport the pollution and also assist infiltration of water is caused by high amount of rainfall. The study area lies within the rainforest region of southeastern Nigeria, with evergreen vegetation and humid climate. The area comprises of vegetation with underground creepers and thick trees in most rural areas. Most of the trees are tall in some locations, with buttress roots around river bodies, while the vegetation is influenced by various factors these include: geology, drainage, rainfall and topography. further pointed out that the study area lies within the low land rainforest region. The drainage system of the study area is dendritic, the major river that drain the study area is the Ebonyi River with other tributaries such as the Iyiodu and Ngada rivers control the drainage with the underlying lithology.

3. Geology of the Study Area

The study area is lies within the southern Benue Trough with a sedimentary succession of pre-Santonian periods that span from Albian and Turonian age see Figure 3 and Table 1. The Asu River Group of the Albian age is represented by with two formations Abakaliki and Ebonyi Formations that underlie ii with two formations Abakaliki and Ebonyi Formations that underlie ii with two formations Abakaliki and Ebonyi Formations that underlie ii. stated that the lithofacies of Asu River Group consists of alternating shales and siltstones with presences of fine grained micaceous and feldspathic sandstones, mudstones, and limestones.
were of the view that there have been reports of intrusions of magmatic rocks ranging from basic/intermediate igneous rock within the Ishiahu area. [29,30] also reported pyroclastic intrusions within the Abakaliki area. The Eze Aku Group is Turonian in age, according to [31] it unconformably overlies the Asu River Group in the study area. It includes all the lithostratigraphic units deposited in the late Cenomanian to Turonian age of the southern Benue Trough [31] which includes the the Nkalagu limestone, Eze-Aku shales and Amasiri sandstone. [32,33] were of the view that integration of magmatism, tectonism and diagenesis trigged major alteration of chemical constituents of rocks within the area, thereby baking them and leading to their common use as construction materials [34-36]. [37,38] stated that lead-zinc minerals occur in veins as open space-fillers within en echelon, tensional, and steeply dipping fracture systems and that in the dark-gray to black shales of the Asu River Group also encouraged their rampant excavation.

Table 1. Stratigraphic table of the study area (Modified after, [39])

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Tournian</td>
<td>Eze-Aku</td>
<td>Nkalagu</td>
<td>Eze Aku shales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Albian</td>
<td>Asu River</td>
<td>Abakaliki</td>
<td>Volcanics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pycroclastics Dolerites/diorites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precambrian</td>
<td>Basement Complex</td>
<td>Asu River</td>
<td>shales</td>
<td>Shales and sandstones</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Method of Investigation

Articles published within the last 4-10 years were reviewed to assess the effect of past mining activities around active and abandoned mines on water resources of the study area, and also to reduce water resource pollution. [40,41] methods was adopted for this study. Article related to this study were searched by google search engines, open access journal sites (SCOPUS, Pub-Med, Taylor Francis, Elsevier and Springer etc.). These relevant articles and papers were studied in full and information gotten was stored in the database with details of publication particulars, study location, period, approach, methodology for assessing past impact of mining activities as shown in Table 1. The results of impact past mining activities on water resources was captured, and conclusion drawn out. And further, to interpret the status and quality of research carried out within the study area, [40] method was employed for this study with some modifications to suit the aim and objectives of this paper. The steps that were adopted to evaluate the effect of mining and quarrying on water resource quality included the following:

1. Evaluation of AMD/hydrogeochemical processes that influence water resource
2. The type of water facies that exist within the study area.

5. Result and Discussion

The impact of past mining activities was evaluated by reviewing previous published literature within the area, with emphasis on hydrochemical processes and water quality.

5.1 Acid Mine Drainage and Hydrogeochemical Processes

For better understanding of impact of past mining activities/AMD on water resources within the study area, it is of upmost importance to first discuss briefly the geochemical processes that generate and neutralize acid drainage (summarized by [42], and references therein). [10] conducted a study on impact of lead-zinc mining on water resources of Enyiigba, Mkpuma Akpatakpa, Ameka, Ameri, Amanchara and Alibaruhu. Water sample was collected within the area with the aim of evaluating its health risks on inhabitant of the area. Findings from their research revealed that lead concentration was high around active mines and they further attributed the high concentration of lead to mineralization process of lead. They also stated that pH fell within acidic to basic range, and that acidic water exist around the mine area and in turn contribute to AMD within the area. They were of the view that water resources of the area are considered unfit for domestic
use. [9] investigated groundwater quality around active and abandoned mine across Ebonyi state, they stated that mining and quarrying activities generate AMD within active and abandoned mines. Their findings pointed out that pH of groundwater within the area were considered more acidic than basic especially around mines, and that groundwater was of Ca^{2+}+Mg^{2+}+Cl^{-} water type which means that groundwater is considered to be permanently hard. The permanent hardness of groundwater was attributed to high concentrations of Mg and Ca ions in groundwater. [8] further pointed out that values obtained from TDS showed that groundwater fell within fresh water category. [10] studied groundwater quality within abandoned Nkalagu limestone quarry, Ebonyi state Nigeria. A total of 13 groundwater sample was evaluated to carefully assess the influence of past mining activities on groundwater suitability for irrigation. From their findings, it was observed that 53.85% of groundwater samples were of (Cl^{-}-SO_{4}^{2-}) dominant, 15.38% were of (SO_{4}^{2-}-Cl^{-}-HCO_{3}^{-}) and (SO_{4}^{2-}-Cl^{-}) dominant, while 7.69% were of (Mg^{2+}-SO_{4}^{2-}-Cl^{-}-HCO_{3}^{-}) and (Cl^{-}-SO_{4}^{2-}-HCO_{3}^{-}) dominant type. Ionic contents revealed that Mg^{2+} dominant and SO_{4}^{2-}-Cl^{-} were the dominant ions in groundwater. [21] used geochemical and Source Rock Deduction (SRD) in evaluating and characterization of groundwater quality around Umudogara limestone quarry, their findings revealed that groundwater were of Ca^{2+}>Mg^{2+}>Cl^{-}>SO_{4}^{2-}>Na^{+}+K^{+}>HCO_{3}^{-} water trend. SRD showed that groundwater were of various origin, and Soltan classification revealed that groundwater were of Na^{+} - SO_{4}^{2-} water type.

5.2 Related Article on Water Type and Their Facies

The water resources of Ohaozara was studied by [21] their findings revealed that water facies evolution were of different types namely; calcium bicarbonate, sodium chloride and sodium/potassium bicarbonate facies that exist within the northern and southern parts respectively and that the geochemical facies of the area were linked to bedrocks. [21] were of the view that the origin of HCO_{3}^{-} facies were linked to carbonate rich sandstone, sandstone/siltstones that underlie the northern part of the area, while NaCl facies were linked to brine loaded bedrocks, weathered/fractured shale that lies within Okposi/Uburu area. They further stated that the dominant anions are HCO_{3}^{-} and Cl^{-} and dominant cation are Ca^{2+} and Na^{+}.

6. Conclusion

Mining activities around active and abandoned mines pose threat to the quality and quantity of water resources around the world. Findings from reviewed papers revealed that mining activities in the past has negatively influence water resources of the study area. It was also observed that most research carried out around the mines within Ebonyi State were based on assessment/studies of effect of mine on soil with emphasis on heavy metals. To the best of our knowledge, published research on impact of mining on water resource is limited. Emphasis is not placed on assessment of mining activities on water resources and also to determine the water facies/hydrogeochemical process around these mines sites across the study area. There is no proper water management plan around active and abandoned mine site across the Ebonyi state, Nigeria, water from these mines are often discharged without any treatment or beneficial use. However, if proper water management is adopted, the water generated during and after mining operations can be harnessed and used for domestic, industrial and irrigation purpose.
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This study investigated coal-mine drainage (AMD) and municipal wastewater (MWW) contaminant concentrations and conducted the combined treatment in phases I and II: phase I, evaluating the effects of mixing the two based on the extent of acid neutralization and metals removal; phase II: conducting anaerobic batch reactor treatment of AMD and MWW under varying COD/sulfate ratios (0.04-5.0). In phase I, acid mine drainage water quality conditions are as follows: pH 4.5, acidity 467.5 mg/L as CaCO₃, alkalinity 96.0 mg/L as CaCO₃, Cl⁻ 11.8 mg/L, SO₄²⁻ 1722 mg/L, TDS 2757.5 mg/L, TSS 9.8 mg/L, BOD 14.7 mg/L, Fe 158.1 mg/L, Mg 110.8 mg/L, Al 7.5 mg/L, Na 114.2 mg/L, and Ca 233.5 mg/L. Results of the mixing experiments indicated significant removal of selected metals (Fe 85~98%, Mg 0~65%, Mn 63~89%, Al 98~99%, Na 0~30%), acidity (77~95%) from the mine water and pH was raised to above 6.3. The Phase II results suggested under the wide range of COD/sulfate ratios, COD and sulfate removal varied from 37.4%-100% and 0%-93.5% respectively. During biological treatment, alkalinity was generated which leads to pH increase to around 7.6-8.5. The results suggested feasibility of the proposed technology for co-treatment of AMD and MWW. A conceptual design of co-treatment system which is expected to remove a matrix of pollutants has been provided to utilize all the locally available water resources to achieve the optimum treatment efficiency. The technology also offers an opportunity to significantly reduce capital and operating costs compared to the existing treatment methodologies used.

Featured Application: In this study, we have measured the concentrations of contaminants in acid mine drainage (AMD) and municipal wastewater (MWW) and conducted the combined treatment in phases I and II. This is significant because in previous years there was a massive fish kill that is linked to the high total dissolved solids (TDS) and salinity content in the water. With the current proposed combined treatment technology, it shows high potential in reducing TDS and salinity content in the combined wastewater which will prevent similar accident (Dunkard creek fish kill in 2019) happening again.

Keywords: Combined treatment, Mixing experiments, Anaerobic batch reactor treatment
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1. Introduction

Acid mine drainage (AMD) originates from oxidation of mine rocks exposed to air and water during mineral extraction which leads to the generation of sulfate, dissolved metals (mainly iron) and low pH\(^{[1,2]}\). It degrades water quality in rivers near mining regions. It is estimated that over 3,000 miles of streams are impaired in the Appalachia due to acid mine drainage\(^{[3]}\). As such, the remediation of these bodies is of primary environmental importance in watersheds with historical mining practices. The key focus on treating AMD is pH neutralization, metals precipitation, and sulfate reduction. In order to remediate AMD, a variety of treatment and prevention methods have been utilized throughout different stages of AMD formation process\(^{[4]}\). Generally, these treatments can be grouped into passive or active systems. Passive treatment generally includes biological activities in systems such as bioreactors, lagoons and wetlands\(^{[5]}\). Based on the sulfate concentration in AMD, one of the widely utilized passive treatment methods is sulfidogenic process (utilizing sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB)) to reduce sulfate to sulfide, and to promote alkalinity production and metal sulfides formation\(^{[6,7]}\). For biotic sulfate reduction, it generally requires electron donors from organic sources\(^{[8-13]}\). A previous study from applied various organic sources (e.g., wood chips, sawdust, leaf mulch and sheep manure) for sulfate reduction and suggested higher sulfate reduction rates with addition of sewage sludge compared to no sludge addition\(^{[7]}\). Additional studies also showed that diversified sources of electron donors from municipal wastewater (MWW) were more preferable for sulfate reducing bacteria growth\(^{[12,14]}\). Active systems are often required for higher flow rates or more complex treatment requirements. This approach offers enhanced treatment flexibility to adapt to fluctuations in contaminant concentration, flow rate, and other environmental conditions. After treatment, any number of separation methods can be employed, with common applications including sedimentation, filtration through granular media, and membrane filtration\(^{[15]}\). Although active chemical treatment can effectively treat AMD, it is generally associated with high operational costs and massive amounts of sludge being produced\(^{[16]}\).

Municipal wastewater (MWW) generally features high pH, TSS, alkalinity, COD and the typical range is: pH of 7-8, alkalinity from 200-250 mg/L as CaCO\(_3\)\(^{[17,18]}\). Generally, MWW treatment needs to reduce the suspended solids, phosphorus, nitrogen and biochemical oxygen demand concentrations to certain limits in order to maintain receiving water bodies from water quality degradation and negative human health impact. Traditional MWW treatment consumes significant amount of economic, material and energy resources. Sludge scraping, mechanical aeration, sludge and effluent pumping, ultraviolet disinfection, clarifier skimming, and other conventional MWW treatment methods consume substantial amount of energy\(^{[18-20]}\). Among the various treatment processes, aeration of activated sludge is the most energy consuming process, typically accounting for 45% of total energy consumption\(^{[21]}\), and energy usage is around 0.28-0.71 kWh/m\(^3\)\(^{[22]}\).

In MWW, suspended solids are generally removed by either filtration, flocculation, biodegradation, or settling\(^{[18]}\). Phosphorus are often removed by ferric iron or aluminum salt dosing\(^{[23]}\). However, these chemical dosing can be considerably expensive and consumption of these flocculants has increased over recent years\(^{[24,25]}\). This indicated that AMD which contain high amount of ferric iron salts can be utilized for phosphorus adsorption\(^{[26]}\). In anaerobic treatment of AMD which contains sulfate reducing bacteria, nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus) can be supplied from MWW for cost-effective operation\(^{[5,27]}\). Organics (Biochemical oxygen demand) in MWW can also be lowered by bacterial respiration through sulfidogenic reaction. So MWW and AMD serve as perfect combination to remediate the overall water quality.

Co-treating AMD and MWW could be cost-effective and mitigate infrastructure challenges of building two separate treatment systems in places where these two wastewaters are existing but financial resources are limited. The study of co-treatment of AMD and municipal wastewater (MWW) has long been explored. A paper first discussed mixing of AMD and MWW has great potentials in reducing pathogens by low pHs and high metal concentrations in AMD, but has not studied the combined treatment potentials\(^{[28]}\). Another paper discovered that Escherichia coli population was significantly decreased when adding AMD to MWW which indicated combined treatment has promoted disinfection effect\(^{[29]}\). Another study investigated varying pH impact on sewage related microbes and found a rapid decreasing trend in bacteria concentration with lowering pH values\(^{[30]}\).

The AMD can be added directly to the activated sludge tank, either as a raw stream or after a pre-treatment such as mixing with digested sludge or screened MWW. The effect is a mutual benefit to both wastes while maintaining the integrity of the parent wastewater treatment facility. A combination of dilution by and alkalinity of the MWW and anaerobic digester sludge neutralized the acidic discharge, which in turn causes metal ions to precipitate from the solution. The AMD, meanwhile, aids in removing MWW constituents such as phosphorus, which adsorb and
co-precipitate with the metal (oxy) hydroxides in a loose sludge as with the previous processes.

The AMD and MWW sampling sites (supplementary Figure S1) are located around a Dunkard river network affected by AMD associated with the intensive mining of metal sulfides. Releases of AMD have low pH, high concentrations of iron, calcium, aluminum, sodium and manganese, high sulfate and chloride, high specific conductivity, high total dissolved solids, and low concentrations of toxic heavy metals. Currently the lower Dunkard Creek water quality is found to be affected by three sources: AMD discharges from abandoned mines: high metal loadings; wastewater from approximately 1,000 residents which is treated to various degrees; alkaline mine drainage discharge via Steele Shaft treatment plant: high TDS. This study is to evaluate an innovative process for addressing all of these problems in a single, comprehensive treatment system.

The research objective is to be achieved by conducting the following using a phased approach: Phase I: evaluate pollutant concentrations and trends, and preliminary treatment of combined AMD, municipal wastewater and steel shaft discharge; phase II: conduct the treatment process utilizing anaerobic batch reactor analysis and study microbial sulfate reduction reaction kinetics to develop a conceptual design of the combined treatment process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Site Description

The location of sampling points has been provided in supplementary Figure S1 and Table 1 shows values for pH, acidity, alkalinity, chloride, sulfate, TDS, TSS, BOD, and metals content (iron, Magnesium, Manganese, aluminum, sodium, calcium) in the sampled sites. Site 2A drainage comes from abandoned mines. Site 2B is very close to 2A, and the distance of the two is no more than 10 meters. The water is also from abandoned mines and has similar characteristics for parameters with 2A. Site 7A sample had a nearly neutral pH. However, it has higher acidity than 2A and 2B which can be explained by the Fe content (228 mg/L) compared to 2A and 2B (33 mg/L, 28 mg/L). Site 7B is further upstream and not far from 7A. It had significantly different characteristics from 7A. Site 8A has high acidity which is attributed to its Fe concentration. Site 8B is close to 8A. These two had similar chemical characteristics. Water coming out from Steele Shaft facility has been treated with alkaline materials to raise the pH of acid mine drainage. The discharges were high in sulfate, and sodium concentration. The primary influent, MWW(P), was collected at a location after the bar screen and the secondary influent, MWW(S), was at a location after the clarifier.

2.2 Field Sampling

Phase I: water samples were collected from ten (10) sites including mine drainages (2A, 2B, 7A, 7B, 8A, 8B), Steel Shaft discharges (two separate pipes for replicates analysis – SSD-A, SSD-B), and primary and secondary influents from the Bobtown wastewater treatment facility (MWW(P), MWW(S)). Steel shaft discharges refer to a mine drainage treatment plant by adding alkaline chemi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>2A</th>
<th>2B</th>
<th>7A</th>
<th>7B</th>
<th>8A</th>
<th>8B</th>
<th>SSD-A</th>
<th>SSD-B</th>
<th>MWW(P)</th>
<th>MWW(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity</td>
<td>mg/L as CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>mg/L as CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>237.2</td>
<td>84.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>101.3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>1603</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>2463</td>
<td>2428</td>
<td>7057</td>
<td>5680</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>2478</td>
<td>2032</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>3863</td>
<td>3828</td>
<td>10395</td>
<td>8390</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>&gt;136.2</td>
<td>&gt;26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>156.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>2508</td>
<td>3765</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>565</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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cals (limestone, sodium hydroxide, etc.) to neutralize the water turn it into alkaline mine drainage. Field measurements of pH, temperature, turbidity and electrical conductivity were taken. SSD, AMD and MWW samples were collected and transported under refrigeration to laboratories and were stored at 4°C before analysis. Sample holding time for acidity, alkalinity, TSS, TDS, and nutrients was less than 24 hrs. Concentrations of Cl\(^{-}\), SO\(_4^{2-}\), COD, and metals were analyzed within 1 week of the sampling. AMD samples collected from the above-mentioned six (6) locations were mixed together in equal volumes to make a combined AMD solution for experiments with MWW sample and SSD sample.

In phase I (1) the AMD samples were mixed with MWW at different ratios to investigate the feasibility of co-treatment of the two waste streams. In phase I (2), mixing experiments were conducted using AMD, alkaline SSD, and MWW to evaluate the effects of combined mixing on removing metals, acidity, and other constituents in the mine drainage samples, since it is more closely simulate what actually will happen in real environment based on the location of the three streams.

Phase II: water samples were collected from AMD site 8A along Dunkard Creek, Pennsylvania, and wastewater samples (MWW (P)) from the Bobtown, Pennsylvania and Star City, West Virginia wastewater treatment plant. Field measurements of pH, temperature, turbidity and electrical conductivity were taken. SSD, AMD and MWW samples were collected and transported under refrigeration to laboratories and were stored at 4°C before analysis. Sample holding time for acidity, alkalinity, TSS, TDS, and nutrients was less than 24 hrs. Concentrations of SO\(_4^{2-}\) and COD were analyzed within 1 week of the sampling.

2.3 Experimental Procedure

2.3.1 The Phase I Mainly Involves the Mixing of AMD, MWW, SSD to Promote Chemical Precipitation

(1) Mixing experiment 1
The first set of mixing experiments was performed using mixture of all the mine drainages from the six locations collected (1:1:1:1:1:1 volume ratios) and mixture of the primary and secondary influents (1:1 volume ratio). Two different mixing ratios between the mine drainage mixture, wastewater mixture and steel shaft mine drainage were used (the ratio is determined based on the in-situ flowrate of AMD and alkaline SSD: 200mL (AMD mixture) + 400 mL (MWW mixture) + 400 mL (alkaline SSD) (abbreviation:1+2+2), 100mL (AMD mixture) + 400 mL (MWW mixture)+ 400 mL (alkaline SSD) (abbreviation:1+4+4). The results are summarized in Figure 1.

(2) Mixing experiment 2
The second set of mixing experiments was conducted using mixture of all the AMD collected (1:1:1:1:1:1 volume ratios), mixture of the primary and secondary influents (1:1 volume ratio), and the alkaline SSD. Two different ratios for the mixing of the mine drainage mixture, wastewater mixture and steel shaft mine drainage were used (the ratio is determined based on the in-situ flowrate of AMD and alkaline SSD: 200mL (AMD mixture) + 400 mL (MWW mixture) + 400 mL (alkaline SSD) (abbreviation:1+2+2), 100mL (AMD mixture) + 400 mL (MWW mixture)+ 400 mL (alkaline SSD) (abbreviation:1+4+4). The results are summarized in Figure 2.
2.3.2 The Phase II Experiments Focus on Anaerobic Batch Reactor Treatment

The collected samples were mixed using different ratios and the mixture solutions (after settlement of particulate matters) were treated in anaerobic bioreactors for COD and sulfate removal in anaerobic conditions.

(1) Pre-setup mixing: a range of AMD: MWW mixing ratio was used to evaluate the chemical composition of the mixture solution.

(2) Reactor Series 1: Four different MWW: AMD mixing ratios (volume based): 1:1, 2.5:1, 2.5:1 (with 20 g AMD soil addition to provide bacterial source for anaerobic degradation purposes, AMD soil refer to the soil sampled from AMD impacted sites), 5:1 were used. This resulted in a range of COD: sulfate ratios (0.2, 0.6, 0.8, and 5) and the mixture solutions were treated in four bioreactors respectively.

(3) Reactor Series 2: Another series of four bioreactors were set up to treat mixture solutions with lower COD: sulfate ratios (0.06, 0.04, 0.05, and 0.13) than those in Reactor Series 1. Probably because of the heavy rain season, organics content in municipal wastewater (Bobtown, PA) has been relatively low.

(4) Reactor Series 3: In order to achieve COD to sulfate ratios similar to Series 1, Star City Wastewater Treatment Plant was sampled instead of Bobtown Water Treatment Plant to obtain higher content of COD and acid mine drainage was sampled from the same place around Dunkard creek area. COD/sulfate ratios of 1.6, 2, 2.4, and 3.1 (This is COD to Sulfate ratio in terms of concentration) were obtained.

2.4 Chemical Analysis Procedures

All samples were analyzed after filtration with 0.45 µm filter paper. pH, TSS, TDS, and BOD were measured according to Standard Methods. pH and conductivity were tested using pH/conductivity meter (YSI 63). COD and sulfate concentrations were analyzed using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Hach DR2800). Alkalinity and acidity were analyzed using autotittrators (Thermo Scientific Orion 950 and Mettler-Toledo DL50). Samples for metals analysis were digested by concentrated nitric acid (~70%, trace metal grade), and measured using an atomic adsorption spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer 3100). Duplicate sample has been analyzed to ensure accuracy of experiments.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Phase I Study

**Mixing Experiments**

From Figure 1 and 2, the two series of mixing experiments in phase I exhibit similar results in almost all the parameters, each AMD + MWW and AMD + MWW + SSD treatment produced substantial alkalinity and raised pH to around 6-7, which is neutral, so it does indicate an ideal environmental for microbial growth. Besides the added alkalinity and dilution of acidity that resulted from mixing MWW and AMD, significantly increased pH was observed. These results indicate that the full advantages of mixing AMD with MWW has been realized and further acidity can be buffered during anaerobic incubation phase. It is unknown if the primary mechanism for acid neutralization is abiotic (i.e., sorption to organic ligands) or biotic (i.e., biological sulfate reduction) because no control study has been performed in phase I. Additionally, based on the paper substantial biological sulfate reduction is unlikely to happen due to the relatively short period of mixing time (24 hours) and the present metal concentrations (Fe) are much greater than those reported to be severely inhibitory to sulfate reducing bacteria. Therefore, it is assumed that the majority of the acid neutralization and alkalinity generation observed when mixing AMD + MWW and AMD+MWW+SSD were via abiotic process. In the mixing experiment 2, the acid neutralization capacity provided by SSD (mainly composed of limestone chemicals) was sufficient to produce net-alkaline effluent. And this is highly promising for treatment purposes because it serves as alkaline addition to co-treat AMD and MWW, which could result in significant cost savings. In addition, further alkalinity may be generated by sulfate reducing bacteria in phase II using the substantial amount of biodegradable organic material in MWW.

As expected, dissolved concentrations of major metal elements (in the mg/L range) of interest in the mixed AMD decreased with MWW exposure (Figure 1). The AMD + MWW mixtures resulted in decreased dissolved Al, Fe, Mn, Mg and Na. The AMD + MWW + SSD exposures resulted in less removal of some metals (Mg, Na and Ca) due to the high concentration of these metals in SSD (Table 1). Overall, results indicate that passive mixing and incubation of AMD with WW can remove significant quantities of heavy metals (Mn, Al and Fe) from solution. The removal mechanisms are likely due to the increase in pH which promoted the formation of the insoluble Al(OH)3. Besides, Al and Fe can combine with phosphate to form a stable solid, and they can also complex with organic materials to form ligands. Additional studies are needed to evaluate more variation of ratio of AMD to MWW and mixing duration to optimize Al and Fe removal.

In general, Mixing experiment 1 and 2 both resulted in significant decreases in acidity, iron, manganese, alumi-
num, and the rest of the parameters relatively remaining on the similar level with the mine mixture (mixing experiment 1) or those even higher than the mine mixture (mixing experiment 2), this is probably because of the municipal wastewater and Steel Shaft water (as the Table 1 showing) exhibits fairly much higher concentration on those parameters, like sodium, calcium, chloride, sulfate and TDS, so this has influenced the mixing results in sulfate, chloride, sodium, calcium. However, the elevated metals and sulfate concentration can be removed in later stage, the anaerobic treatment process. And above all, the preliminary goal of the mixing is to decrease acidity and Fe which has been achieved, and the pH is raised to around 7. It is perfect for microorganisms, which suggests a promising future for microbial growth and further removal of metals and sulfate.

### 3.2. Phase II Study

Phase II study followed the concept of preliminary study of combined treatment in phase I and investigate further into biological treatment processes. In this phase, combined treatment has been conducted through two-step: mixing and biological treatment.

#### 3.2.1 Pre-setup Mixing

Mixing ratios of 1:5, 1:10, and 1:15 (AMD: MWW, volume based) were performed to determine proper mixing ratio for subsequent anaerobic biological treatment. The mixing water characteristics are provided in Table 2. In order to provide sufficient organics for anaerobic biological degradation process, AMD: MWW mixing ratios were chosen based on COD/sulfate concentrations. So AMD: MWW mixing ratios of 1:1, 1:2.5, 1:2.5S (20g AMD soil added) and 1:5 have been chosen.

#### Table 2. Chemical Composition of the mixture solutions from the Pre-Setup Mixing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>1:5</th>
<th>1:10</th>
<th>1:15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidity</td>
<td>mg/L as CaCO₃</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>93.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>mg/L as CaCO₃</td>
<td>208.9</td>
<td>232.7</td>
<td>248.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>95.9</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>516.3</td>
<td>369.6</td>
<td>333.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>1701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS</td>
<td>mg/L</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2.2 Anaerobic Bioreactor Treatment

(1) Reactor Series 1

A series of four bioreactors (Series 1) were set up using Boston Round bottles. The bioreactors were used to treat mixture solutions of different AMD: MWW volume ratios – 1:1, 1:2.5, 1:2.5 and 1:5 with 20 g of AMD soil. The volume mixing ratios resulted in initial COD: sulfate ratios of 0.2, 0.8, 0.6, and 5, respectively. Each reactor contained solid surfaces for biofilm development (i.e., attached growth of microorganisms). The results show that all mixing ratios produced satisfactory sulfate and COD removal in the reactors (COD reduction of 67.1%-88.9% and sulfate reduction of 60.8%-93.6%) (Figure 3). COD was dramatically and significantly decreased in the bioreactors containing AMD+MWW mixtures (67.1%-88.9%). The activity of various heterotrophic microbes (i.e., sulfate reducing bacteria, nitrate reduction, fermenters, methanogenesis and iron reducing bacteria) likely served to decrease organics throughout the system and has reported in previous studies [33,34]. PCR and qPCR results indicated that sulfate-reducing bacteria were dominant in the microbial communities and the resulting dsr A gene concentrations ranged from 13.3-15.0 log gene copies/μL which are significantly higher than the levels in the original of AMD (9.7 log gene copies/μL) and MWW (12.5 log gene copies/μL) [34]. Therefore, the authors concluded that the dsrA genes were enriched as a result of the biological treatment. It has been reported that there are 2 to 3.5 copies of dsrA gene per SRB cell [35], and the number of active sulfate reducing bacteria microorganisms can be reasonably estimated from the gene concentrations.
(2) Reactor Series 2
Reactors 2 refer to the second sampling trip taken to collect AMD and MWW water samples to test the treatability of the mixtures with the same volume mixing ratios of AMD and MWW but result in lower COD: sulfate concentration ratios (0.06, 0.04, 0.05 and 0.13). This could possibly be attributed to the rain season. The bioreactors (Figure 4) produced satisfactory reductions of both COD and sulfate as well (COD reduced 91.9%-100% and sulfate reduced 20.1%-73.2%). This indicates once the microbial community has been established, it can treat much lower COD:sulfate ratios and still achieve satisfying results. The limiting factor in the reactor series 2 is COD concentration since in all COD:sulfate ratios organics has been degraded to below detection level. And when the organics were below detection level, there would be no electron donors for sulfate reducing bacteria to continuously obtain electrons to reduce sulfate.

(3) Reactor Series 3
In Reactor series 3, MWW was sampled from the Star City wastewater treatment plant in order to provide sufficient organics for biological sulfate reduction process which resulted in COD:sulfate concentration ratios of 1.6, 2, 2.4 and 3. COD reductions were observed in all four reactors. However, the bioreactors produced mixed results for sulfate reduction (Figure 5). There was a general trend of COD reduction in the bioreactors and for reactors containing COD:sulfate of 1.6, 2 and 3.1 the sulfate is also decreasing substantially. Possible reasons for the inconsistent sulfate concentration trends in reactor COD:sulfate of 2.4 include: a. Different source of wastewater: different composition of wastewater collected from Star City wastewater treatment plant may have caused different microbial responses due to carbon source, pH, and other potentially toxic chemicals. b. Increasing pH over the course of the treatment: pH 5-8 is favorable for microbial growth, and a pH above 8.0 is damaging to microbial community. From the 2nd week, pHs in the bioreactors were higher than 8 which indicated unfavorable environment for the microbial community and resulted in unstable performance (Supplementary materials Figure S2).

Overall, the three series of reactors were conducted under a wide range of COD:sulfate ratios from 0.04-5.00. Almost all of the ratios (except COD:sulfate of 2.4) achieved promising results for COD:sulfate reduction, which indicate sulfate reduction related bacterial community has been established. The two step combined treatment process lead to pH increase, COD:sulfate reduction, metals reduced (Fe, Ca, Mg, Mn), and TDS/TSS removal (from Phase I and Phase II).

The full-scale AMD and MWW co-treatment systems
may result in energy, chemicals, and cost savings. Aside from low energy and low-cost set-up, these systems could be operated using gravity flow without ongoing purchased energy inputs. A field-scale co-treatment system could be applied in commonly engineered structures, such as ponds, aerobic wetlands, clarifiers, and vertical flow bio-reactors, which could decrease engineering costs. Significant cost savings would result from eliminating the need to purchase and transport organic substrate, often a major cost of passive AMD treatment. Cost savings would also result by using AMD as a coagulant/floculant and disinfectant for MWW treatment. In addition, the use of MWW as no-cost organic substrates consumes an item considered as “waste” to relatively-costly organic substrate, such as the compost or refined carbon sources (e.g., ethanol, methanol) often used in AMD treatment. Raw MWW and high-strength AMD are often not independently addressed with passive methods because of the limitations of conventional passive treatment technologies and/or the lack of locally available suitable carbon substrate.

3.3 Conceptual Design and Recommendations

The bioreactors used in this study simply demonstrated feasibility of such treatment sequence (i.e., mixing followed by anaerobic biological treatment). So a conceptual design of the proposed treatment method is recommended for combined treatment of the mine drainages and sewage from the Bobtown, PA (Supplementary materials Figure S4 and Figure S5).

Conceptually, collection lines upstream of the proposed treatment pond (Steel Shaft lines and AMD discharge) could be run combined based on the mixing results given. In addition, a pumped line would convey MWW to the treatment system. The treatment pond could be configured to optimize residence time and reactivity under a collection system that combines Steele Shaft discharge and AML (Acid Mine Leachaye) discharges to form chemical precipitates and settle solids. Then the effluent is then mixed with MWW flow which provides organic matters for biological activities. A large pond would provide much of the biological reactions and settle remaining solids. Effluent could be discharged to Dunkard Creek at the downstream end of the treatment or piped to the Monogahela River.

Use the data obtained from reactor 1:1 of AMD/ SWW volume ratio, series 1 (supplementary material Table S1), the influent concentration of sulfate from the mixture water is 1090.2 mg/L, and the effluent is 158.3 mg/L. This ratio has been picked since locally AMD and SWW were pumped at similar flow rate.

The detention time,

\[
\theta = -\frac{\ln \left( \frac{C_{\text{eff}}}{C_{\text{in}}} \right)}{k}, \quad (1) \quad [18]
\]

Where

\[ C_{\text{in}} = \text{pollutant concentration in influent (mg/L)} \]
\[ C_{\text{eff}} = \text{pollutant concentration in effluent (mg/L)} \]
\[ k = \text{apparent pollutant removal rate (day}^{-1}) \]

Since this equation is fit for first-order k, we calculate k value as follows (supplementary material Table S1 and S2, Figure S1): \( k = 0.0247 \text{ day}^{-1} \)

So

\[
\theta = -\frac{\ln \left( \frac{427 \text{ mg/l}}{1090.2 \text{ mg/L}} \right)}{0.0247 / d} = 37.9 d, \quad \text{assume void ratio} \ \frac{\text{Q}}{\theta} = 0.7, \text{ flow rate } Q = 4.0 \text{ cfs} = 9786.3 \text{ m}^3/d \text{(medium value of flow rate from AML)}, \]

\[
V = \frac{\theta Q}{\eta} = \frac{37.9 d \times 9786.3 \text{ m}^3/d}{0.7} = 5.31 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3, \quad (2) \quad [19]
\]

So the volume of the treatment pond is \( 5.31 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^3 \), or \( 1.87 \times 10^7 \text{ ft}^3 \).

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, the first set of mixing was effective for removing Fe, magnesium, manganese, aluminum, acidity, sulfate, and increasing pH to around 6. The second has significant removal rate on acidity, Fe and pH is reaching neutral. And at the same time, since the second set is a mixture of the three different sources of water, it more closely depicts what will really happen in the natural environment. But above all, the two set of mixing both reduced significant amount of acidity, Fe, aluminum, manganese, which is the main goal for our mixing experiments, and the pH is favorable for microbial growth which lays a good foundation for the later stage of the project, cultivating sulfate-reducing bacteria.

This purpose of this study was to obtain better understanding of water quality along mining affected Dunkard Creek area and propose an optimum treatment method to improve water quality. Along the Dunkard Creek streamline, steel shaft alkaline drainage, AMD and municipal wastewater in Bobtown can be combined to treat the water more efficiently. Through aerobic mixing, metals, TSS and TDS can be reduced and mixture pH has been raised, and the following anaerobic biological treatment promote further organics and sulfate reduction, which would co-precipitate more metals when sulfide is generated and precipitated as metal sulfides.

The conceptual design of co-treatment system has been
proposed to achieve the purpose of the study. More details need to be considered since the water quality from AMD and municipal wastewater depend by the season and other variables, and more practical concerns need to be taken into account. For better understanding of the whole treatment performance, microbiological community analysis, fate of sulfate and metals needs to be further analyzed.

Supplementary Materials

Table S1. COD and Sulfate concentrations in 1:1 Reactors of Series 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Reactors</th>
<th>COD (mg/L)</th>
<th>Sulfate (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/24/2011</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>258.4</td>
<td>1090.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/4/2011</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>210.1</td>
<td>887.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/11/2011</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>166.6</td>
<td>627.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/18/2011</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>84.9</td>
<td>427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table S2. lnC/C₀ vs. Time in 1:1 Reactor of Series 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ln(C/C₀)</th>
<th>T (day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure S1. Sampling site locations of Acid Mine Drainage, Municipal Wastewater and Steel shaft discharge

Figure S2. pH changes in the Reactor Series 3

Figure S3. The linear relationship of lnC/C₀ vs. time

Figure S4. Combined mine water and wastewater flow to treatment system

Figure S5. Conceptual Design of Treatment Process
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